



Enabler Validation Plan for Push 2.2

Candidate Version 2.2 – 27 Jul 2010

Open Mobile Alliance
OMA-EVP-Push-V2_2-20100727-C

Use of this document is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Use Agreement located at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html>.

Unless this document is clearly designated as an approved specification, this document is a work in process, is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification, and is subject to revision or removal without notice.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. Information contained in this document may be used, at your sole risk, for any purposes. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance. The Open Mobile Alliance authorizes you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services. The Open Mobile Alliance assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document.

Each Open Mobile Alliance member has agreed to use reasonable endeavors to inform the Open Mobile Alliance in a timely manner of Essential IPR as it becomes aware that the Essential IPR is related to the prepared or published specification. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The declared Essential IPR is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the “OMA IPR Declarations” list at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html>. The Open Mobile Alliance has not conducted an independent IPR review of this document and the information contained herein, and makes no representations or warranties regarding third party IPR, including without limitation patents, copyrights or trade secret rights. This document may contain inventions for which you must obtain licenses from third parties before making, using or selling the inventions. Defined terms above are set forth in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE “OMA IPR DECLARATIONS” LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.

THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

© 2010 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Used with the permission of the Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. under the terms set forth above.

Contents

1. SCOPE	5
1.1 ASSUMPTIONS	5
1.2 EXCLUSIONS	5
2. REFERENCES.....	6
2.1 NORMATIVE REFERENCES	6
2.2 INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	6
3. TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS	7
3.1 CONVENTIONS	7
3.2 DEFINITIONS	7
3.3 ABBREVIATIONS	8
4. ENABLER VALIDATION DESCRIPTION	10
5. TESTFEST ACTIVITIES.....	11
5.1 ENABLER TEST GUIDELINES.....	11
5.1.1 Minimal Test Configuration.....	11
5.1.2 Minimal Participation Guidelines.....	12
5.1.3 Optimal TestFest Achievement Guidelines.....	12
5.2 ENABLER TEST REQUIREMENTS.....	13
5.2.1 Test Infrastructure Requirements	13
5.2.2 Enabler Execution Flow	14
5.2.3 Test Content Requirements	18
5.2.4 Test Limitations.....	18
5.2.5 Test Restrictions.....	18
5.2.6 Test Tools.....	19
5.2.7 Resources Required.....	19
5.3 TESTS TO BE PERFORMED	19
5.3.1 Entry Criteria for TestFest.....	19
5.3.2 Testing to be performed at TestFest	19
5.4 ENABLER TEST REPORTING.....	19
5.4.1 Problem Reporting Requirements	19
5.4.2 Enabler Test Requirements	19
6. ALTERNATIVE VALIDATION ACTIVITIES	20
7. APPROVAL CRITERIA.....	21
APPENDIX A. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE)	22
A.1 APPROVED VERSION HISTORY.....	22
A.2 DRAFT/CANDIDATE VERSION 2.2 HISTORY.....	22

Figures

Figure 2 OMA Push Enabler Protocol Stack.....	12
Figure 3: Interaction Diagram for Push Over the Air.....	14
Figure 4: Interaction Diagram for Push Server Originating (PO)	15
Figure 5 Interaction Diagram for Terminal Originating Push (TO)	16
Figure 6 OTA-SIP Registration (3rd Party Registration)	16
Figure 7 OTA-SIP Registration (reg-event-package Subscription).....	17

Figure 8 OTA-SIP Registration (Capability Negotiation) 17
Figure 9 OTA-SIP Connectionless Push (Pager-Mode)..... 17
Figure 10 OTA-SIP Connection Oriented Push (Session Mode)..... 18

1. Scope

This document details the Validation plan for the Push V2.2 Enabler Release. The successful accomplishment of the validation activities will be required for the Enabler to be considered for Approved status.

The validation plan for the Push V2.2 Enabler Release specifications is based on testing expectations in the Enabler Test Requirements (ETR). While the specific test activities to be performed are described in the Enabler Test Specification (ETS) the test environment is described in this plan. This test environment details infrastructure, operational and participation requirements identified for the needed testing activities.

The list of specifications, defining the scope of Push 2.2, as stated in [ERELED] and [ETR] is according to the following:

- Push Architecture OMA-AD-Push-V2_2
- Push Message OMA-TS-Push_Message-V2_2
- Push PAP OMA-TS-PAP-V2_2
- Push OTA OMA-TS-PushOTA-V2_2
- PPG Service OMA-TS-PPGService-V2_2
- Push Client-Application Interface OMA-TS-PushCAI-V1_0
- Push Management Object OMA-TS-Push_MO-V1_0

1.1 Assumptions

NONE

1.2 Exclusions

NONE

2. References

2.1 Normative References

- [IOPPROC] “OMA Interoperability Policy and Process”, Version 1.8, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-ORG-IOP_Process-V1_8, [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [RFC2119] “Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels”, S. Bradner, March 1997, URL:<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

2.2 Informative References

- [PushArch] "Push Architectural Overview". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-AD-Push-V2_2 [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [PushMsg] "Push Message Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-Push_Message-V2_2. [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [PushOTA] "Push OTA Protocol Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-PushOTA-V2_2. [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [PushPAP] "Push Access Protocol Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-PAP-V2_2 [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [PPGService] "Push Proxy Gateway Service Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-PPGService-V2_2. [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [PushCAI] "Push Client - Application Interface Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-PushCAI-V1_0. [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [PushMO] "Push Management Object". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-Push_MO-V1_0. [URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [CacheOp] “WAP Cache Operation”, Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-175-CacheOp. [URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [ServiceInd] "Service Indication", Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-167-ServiceInd. [URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [ServiceLoad] "Service Loading", Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-168-ServiceLoad. [URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [RDF] "Resource Description Framework (RDF) Model and Syntax Specification", W3C Recommendation, 22-February-1999. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-rdf-syntax>
- [RFC2246] "The TLS Protocol Version 1.0", T. Dierks, C. Allen. January 1999, URL:<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt>
- [RFC2396] "Uniform Resource identifiers (URI)", T. Berners-Lee, et al., August 1998. URL: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2396.txt>
- [RFC822] "Standard for the Format of ARPA Internet Text Messages", D. Crocker, August 1982. URL: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0822.txt>

3. Terminology and Conventions

3.1 Conventions

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All sections and appendixes, except “Scope”, are normative, unless they are explicitly indicated to be informative.

3.2 Definitions

Application	A value-added data service provided to a Client. The application may utilise both push and pull data transfer to deliver content
Application Addressing	The ability to address a particular user agent on a WAP client.
Bearer Network	a network used to carry the messages of a transport-layer protocol between physical devices. Multiple bearer networks may be used over the life of a single push session.
Client	In the context of push, a client is a device (or service) that expects to receive push content from a server. In the context of pull a client, it is a device initiates a request to a server for content or data. See also "device"
Contact Point	Address information that describes how to reach a push proxy gateway, including transport protocol address and port of the push proxy gateway.
Content	Subject matter (data) stored or generated at an origin server. Content is typically displayed or interpreted by a user agent on a client. Content can both be returned in response to a user request, or pushed directly to a client.
Content Encoding	when used as a verb, content encoding indicates the act of converting a data object from one format to another. Typically the resulting format requires less physical space than the original, is easier to process or store, and/or is encrypted. When used as a noun, content encoding specifies a particular format or encoding standard or process.
Content Format	actual representation of content.
Device	Is a network entity that is capable of sending and/or receiving packets of information and has a unique device address. A device can act as either a client or a server within a given context or across multiple contexts. For example, a device can service a number of clients (as a server) while being a client to another server.
End-user	See "user"
Multicast Message	a push message containing a single address which implicitly specifies more than one OTA client address.
Push Client –Application Interface	A device-internal interface provided by Push Clients, via which Push applications can register for Push services with application-specified options, and receive notifications of Push events.
Push Framework	The entire Push system. The push framework encompasses the protocols, service interfaces, and software entities that provide the means to push data to user agents in the WAP client.
Push Initiator	The entity that originates push content and submits it to the push framework for delivery to a user agent on a client.
Push OTA Protocol	A protocol used for conveying content between a Push Proxy Gateway and a certain user agent on a client.
Push Proxy Gateway	A proxy gateway that provides push proxy services.
Push Session	A WSP session that is capable of conducting push operations.
Registration	Refers to a procedure where the PPG becomes aware of the terminal’s current capabilities and preferences.

Registration Context	A state where the PPG is aware of at least the last capabilities and preferences conveyed from the terminal.
Server	A device (or service) that passively waits for connection requests from one or more clients. A server may accept or reject a connection request from a client. A server may initiate a connection to a client as part of a service (push).
Terminal	See "client".
Terminal-ID	An identifier that is used by a PPG to uniquely identify a terminal.
User	A user is a person who interacts with a user agent to view, hear, or otherwise use a rendered content. Also referred to as end-user
User agent	A user agent (or content interpreter) is any software or device that interprets resources. This may include textual browsers, voice browsers, search engines, etc.

3.3 Abbreviations

ABNF	Augmented Backus-Naur Form
ABNF	Augmented Backus-Naur Form
CPI	Capability and Preference Information
CSD	Circuit Switched Data
DNS	Domain Name Server
DTD	Document Type Definition
ETR	Enabler Test Requirements
ETS	Enabler Test Specification
GPRS	General Packet Radio Service
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
IANA	Internet Assigned Numbers Authority
IP	Internet Protocol
MS	Mobile Station
MSISDN	Mobile Station International Subscriber Directory Number
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
OMNA	Open Mobile Naming Authority
OTA	Over The Air
OTA-HTTP	(Push) OTA over HTTP
OTA-HTTP-TLS	OTA-HTTP over TLS
OTA-SIP	(Push) OTA over SIP
OTA-WSP	(Push) OTA over WSP
PAP	Push Access Protocol
PDP	Packet Data Protocol
PI	Push Initiator
PO-TCP	PPG Originated TCP connection establishment method
PPG	Push Proxy Gateway

QoS	Quality of Service
RADIUS	Remote Authentication Dial-In User Service
RFC	Request For Comments
SHA-1	Secure Hash Algorithm 1
SI	Service Indication
SIA	Session Initiation Application
SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
SIR	Session Initiation Request
SL	Service Loading
SMS	Short Message Service
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TLS	Transport Layer Security
TO-TCP	Terminal Originated TCP connection establishment method
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
WAP	Wireless Application Protocol
WBXML	WAP Binary XML
WDP	Wireless Datagram Protocol
WINA	WAP Interim Naming Authority
WSP	Wireless Session Protocol
WTLS	Wireless Transport Layer Security

4. Enabler Validation Description

This document details the Validation plan for the Push V2.2 Enabler Release. The successful accomplishment of the validation activities will be required for the Enabler to be considered for Approved status. This Plan will detail the required testing environment and tools required to implement the testing successfully.

5. TestFest Activities

5.1 Enabler Test Guidelines

A full description of Push 2.2 can be found in the ERELD and specifications.

These guidelines cover the following Push 2.2 testing areas: Push OTA (OTA-WSP, OTA-HTTP, OTA-SIP), Push SL, Push SI, Push CO, Push TO-TCP, Push PO-TCP..

Assumptions:

- A Push initiator Tool is required to connect to the PPG for initiating the Push message.
- The WAP1 Push protocol (OTA-WSP) is tested
- The WAP2 Push protocol (OTA-HTTP) is tested
- The SIP Push protocol (OTA-SIP) is tested
- The testing requires adequate Push Client and PPG functionality.
- The testing requires adequate Push Device and Server Security Implementations.
- PPG awareness of Push Client capability
- Mobile network supporting connectivity for Push-OTA operation across selected networks and bearers
- Push Client pre-configuration or support for OMA Device Management configuration of Push Client

5.1.1 Minimal Test Configuration

The minimal test configuration of Push shall include:

- A Push Client implementation
- A Push Proxy Server implementation
- A Push Initiator Tool or Push-enabled service
- A mobile data network for WAP1/WAP2/SIP Push, including network node dependencies of the Push-OTA protocol variants e.g. SMSC, WAP1 gateway, WAP2 gateway, SIP/IP Core network
- Optional: A protocol analyser to monitor and debug the Push protocols




Figure 1 Minimal Test Configuration

OTA-WSP		OTA-HTTP	OTA-SIP		PAP
WSP		HTTP	SIP	MSRP	HTTP
WDP	WDP/WTP	TCP	UDP/TCP	TCP	TCP
SMS	IP				
Bearer Network					

Figure 2 OMA Push Enabler Protocol Stack

5.1.2 Minimal Participation Guidelines

Minimum

- 2 Push Client implementations and
- 1 PPG

5.1.3 Optimal TestFest Achievement Guidelines

The ETS Test Cases listed below represent a subset of all the Test Cases for the Enabler that it is thought can be executed in a test session at an OMA TestFest. This list is intended to facilitate maximum test coverage of the functionality of the enabler

within a test session. It is not intended to be the only tests executed at a TestFest, and teams are encouraged to execute more tests if they are able to do in the time allowed.

The list includes:

Client settings shall be in accordance with the network parameters provided by the TestFest host.

- Gateway and proxy configuration shall be in accordance with the information provided by the TestFest host for serving all clients participating in the TestFest.
- Initiator Push tool to create the Push requests that will be submitted to the Push Proxy Gateway used during the TestFest.
- The SMS-C configuration shall provide the agreed interface to the gateways and SMS service to the clients participating in the TestFest.
- Configuration of the AP and the RADIUS shall provide RADIUS ACCOUNTING to the gateways/proxies requiring it for MSISDN forwarding. Necessary configuration parameters shall be in advance documented.

5.2 Enabler Test Requirements

5.2.1 Test Infrastructure Requirements

The testing shall be performed as end-to-end testing. Most likely the client participants will be in one place, while the participant gateways and proxies will be located in member companies premises, accessible to the rest of the test fest environment. Such a “distributed” test fest environment puts effort on the test fest host and requires detailed documented configuration.

The Network Elements involved in Push Testing are:

- PLMN (GSM/GPRS)
- An SMS-C Supporting Binary Encoding
- A Gateway supporting Push 2.0 or higher
- Clients supporting Push 2.0 or higher
- Initiator Push Tool to create the pushes submitted to the Push Proxy Gateway.
- A Provision Server to pre provision the Push security parameters if required.

A Network Analyzer for Network Monitoring/Protocol Analyzing is also useful

5.2.2 Enabler Execution Flow




Figure 3: Interaction Diagram for Push Over the Air

The above configuration is used for sending the Connectionless Push notifications. This uses an SMSC to send the notifications over the air to the receiving device.

The following diagrams provide a high level overview of the message exchanges between the involved elements of the Push test environment based on the type of bearers, i.e. WSP or WHTTP stack.




Figure 4: Interaction Diagram for Push Server Originating (PO)

The above configuration is used for sending the Connection Orientated Push notifications. This uses an established TCP connection between the device and the Server for sending the notifications via this channel. In this case the Server is the sender and the client is the receiver.




Figure 5 Interaction Diagram for Terminal Originating Push (TO)

The above configuration is used for sending the Connection Orientated Push notifications. This uses an established TCP connection between the device and the Server for sending the notifications via this channel. In this case the Client is the sender and the Server is the receiver.

The Session Initiation Request (SIR) may be sent out to the device for a response connection to be setup.




Figure 6 OTA-SIP Registration (3rd Party Registration)




Figure 7 OTA-SIP Registration (reg-event-package Subscription)




Figure 8 OTA-SIP Registration (Capability Negotiation)




Figure 9 OTA-SIP Connectionless Push (Pager-Mode)




Figure 10 OTA-SIP Connection Oriented Push (Session Mode)

5.2.3 Test Content Requirements

Service Load - Content is required which can be a URL of a Website

Service Indication – A message is required to be composed and then sent as Push and rendered by the device

Cache Operation – A cache operation is sent to the device with the new URL to be loaded thus overwriting the old one.

Pap Content – The Push content for the Push message is in the correct format acceptable by the Push server.

5.2.4 Test Limitations

5.2.4.1 Physical

NONE

5.2.4.2 Resources

NONE

5.2.5 Test Restrictions

NONE

5.2.6 Test Tools

5.2.6.1 Existing Tools to be Used

The test tools needed to carry out the conformance and interoperability test cases are listed as:

- SMSC
- PPG
- Push Initiator Tool
- Provisioning Server
- Mobile Device supporting Push

5.2.6.2 Test Tool Requirements

NONE

5.2.7 Resources Required

All test cases can be run in approx 8 hours . However, comparative testing may require more time, depending on the number of devices being compared.

5.3 Tests to be Performed

The following sections describe the tests related to the formal TestFest validation activities.

5.3.1 Entry Criteria for TestFest

There are no specific tests that need to be performed and passed by implementations by members wishing to participate in the TestFest.

5.3.2 Testing to be performed at TestFest

The following tests need to be performed to fully cover the range of capabilities of the enabler and defined protocols. These tests are to be covered in the TestFest.

5.4 Enabler Test Reporting

5.4.1 Problem Reporting Requirements

Normal Reporting Tool to be used, no special reporting required.

5.4.2 Enabler Test Requirements

As per the [ETR]

6. Alternative Validation Activities

NONE

7. Approval Criteria

The Push 2.2 Enabler can be put in the Approved state when:

- The Enabler has been tested successfully at 2 Test Fests or
- 3 Companies have successfully run Bi-lateral tests sessions towards a Push server and has reported results and any issues to OMA
- No open PRs exist.

Appendix A. Change History

(Informative)

A.1 Approved Version History

Reference	Date	Description
n/a	n/a	No prior version –or- No previous version within OMA

A.2 Draft/Candidate Version 2.2 History

Document Identifier	Date	Sections	Description
Draft Versions OMA-EVP-Push-V2_2	03 Dec 2006	All	New document, based on OMA-IOP-BRO-2007-0244
	22 Jul 2007	4, 5.1	Addition of Provisioning Server
	19 Aug 2007	All	Migrated ETG to EVP Template
	22 Oct 2007	n/a	IOP WG agreed, ref# OMA-IOP-2007-0211-INP_Push_2.2_EVP
Candidate Version OMA-EVP-Push-V2_2	06 Nov 2007	n/a	Status changed to candidate. TP R&A 2007-10-24 to 2007-11-06, TP doc. ref # OMA-TP-2007-0442-INP_EVP_Push_V2_2_for_candidate_approval
Draft Versions OMA-EVP-Push-V2_2	01 Sep 2009	All	CR incorporated: OMA-IOP-BRO-2009-0098
Candidate Version OMA-EVP-Push-V2_2	27 Jul 2010	n/a	Status changed to candidate by TP R&A TP-10-025 OMA-TP-2010-0305-INP_Push22_EVP_for_Candidate_ReApproval