



Enabler Release Definition for Mobile Spam Reporting

Candidate Version 1.0 – 11 Aug 2009

Open Mobile Alliance
OMA-ERELD-SpamRep-V1_0-20090811-C

Use of this document is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Use Agreement located at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html>.

Unless this document is clearly designated as an approved specification, this document is a work in process, is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification, and is subject to revision or removal without notice.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. Information contained in this document may be used, at your sole risk, for any purposes. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance. The Open Mobile Alliance authorizes you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services. The Open Mobile Alliance assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document.

Each Open Mobile Alliance member has agreed to use reasonable endeavors to inform the Open Mobile Alliance in a timely manner of Essential IPR as it becomes aware that the Essential IPR is related to the prepared or published specification. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The declared Essential IPR is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the “OMA IPR Declarations” list at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html>. The Open Mobile Alliance has not conducted an independent IPR review of this document and the information contained herein, and makes no representations or warranties regarding third party IPR, including without limitation patents, copyrights or trade secret rights. This document may contain inventions for which you must obtain licenses from third parties before making, using or selling the inventions. Defined terms above are set forth in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE “OMA IPR DECLARATIONS” LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.

THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

© 2009 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Used with the permission of the Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. under the terms set forth above.

Contents

1. SCOPE	4
2. REFERENCES	5
2.1 NORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	5
2.2 INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	6
3. TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS	7
3.1 CONVENTIONS.....	7
3.2 DEFINITIONS.....	7
3.3 ABBREVIATIONS.....	8
4. RELEASE VERSION OVERVIEW	9
4.1 VERSION 1.0 FUNCTIONALITY	9
5. DOCUMENT LISTING FOR SPAMREP V1.0.....	10
6. OMNA CONSIDERATIONS	11
7. CONFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS NOTATION DETAILS	12
8. ERDEF FOR SPAMREP - CLIENT REQUIREMENTS	13
9. ERDEF FOR SPAMREP - SERVER REQUIREMENTS	14
APPENDIX A. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE).....	15
A.1 APPROVED VERSION HISTORY	15
A.2 DRAFT/CANDIDATE VERSION 1.0 HISTORY	15

1. Scope

The scope of this document is limited to the Enabler Release Definition of Mobile Spam Reporting (SpamRep) enabler according to OMA Release process and the Enabler Release specification baseline listed in section 5.

The Mobile Spam Reporting enabler allows Users to designate received Content as Spam, and send a report to an external entity containing information about that Content that may be used by the external entity to prevent further instances of unwanted Content from reaching Users. The scope of this enabler covers only the SpamRep message format and interface between User Device and the external recipient entity. The User interface is out of scope, as is any functionality of the external entity beyond the SpamRep/Device interface.

2. References

2.1 Normative References

- [RFC2119] "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", S. Bradner, March 1997,
[URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt)
- [SCRRULES] "SCR Rules and Procedures", Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-ORG-
SCR_Rules_and_Procedures, [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [SpamRep_RD] "Mobile Spam Reporting Requirements", Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-RD-SpamRep-V1_0,
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [SpamRep_AD] "Mobile Spam Reporting Architecture", Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-AD-SpamRep-V1_0,
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)

2.2 Informative References

- [OMADICT] “Dictionary for OMA Specifications”, Version 2.7, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-ORG-Dictionary-V2_7, [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [IETF_Email_Rep] “An Extensible Format for Email Feedback Reports”, draft-shafranovich-feedback-report-06, IETF, January 2, 2009, URL: <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-shafranovich-feedback-report-06>.

3. Terminology and Conventions

3.1 Conventions

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All sections and appendixes, except “Scope” and “Introduction”, are normative, unless they are explicitly indicated to be informative.

The formal notation convention used in sections 8 and 9 to formally express the structure and internal dependencies between specifications in the Enabler Release specification baseline is detailed in [**Error! Reference source not found.**].

3.2 Definitions

Enabler Release	Collection of specifications that combined together form an enabler for a service area, e.g. a download enabler, a browsing enabler, a messaging enabler, a location enabler, etc. The specifications that are forming an enabler should combined fulfil a number of related market requirements.
Minimum Functionality Description	Description of the guaranteed features and functionality that will be enabled by implementing the minimum mandatory part of the Enabler Release
Content	In the context of the SpamRep enabler, Content refers to messages, files, and/or media streams that are transmitted to a Device in an arbitrary way (ie, via SMS, MMS, IM, Video Share, email, etc.)
Reporter	The User that initiates and sends a Spam Report
Spam	In the context of the SpamRep enabler, Spam is defined as any Content received by a User that may be considered unwanted and/or inappropriate by the message recipient and/or operator
Spam Report	A message transmitted between the SpamRep Client and SpamRep Server containing information about the Content and originator of an unsolicited and unwanted received message, as designated by a User
Spam Sender	The purported source of the Spam Content. This could be the spammer, or an innocent device infected with a virus that causes it to send Spam, , an individual or organization sending Content in good faith that the recipient considers Spam, or a false identity assumed by the spammer.
SpamRep Client	An entity within the SpamRep architecture that composes and transmits Spam Reports upon invocation by a User or automatically based on Service Provider policy
SpamRep Server	An entity within the SpamRep architecture that receives Spam Reports transmitted by a SpamRep Client

3.3 Abbreviations

ERDEF	Enabler Requirement Definition
ERELD	Enabler Release Definition
SpamRep	Mobile Spam Reporting
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
OMNA	Open Mobile Naming Authority

4. Release Version Overview

This document outlines the Enabler Release Definition for Mobile Spam Reporting (SpamRep) Enabler and the respective conformance requirements for clients and servers implementing claiming compliance to it as defined by Open Mobile Alliance across the specification baseline.

Spam Reporting is intended to help the Mobile Network Operator to reduce the load on their network caused by Spammers sending unsolicited/unwanted messages to the operator's Users/Subscribers. The reduction in the number of spam messages will also improve the User's/Subscriber's experience on the operator's network.

4.1 Version 1.0 Functionality

The SpamRep 1.0 enabler defines a protocol/interface and message format for forwarding user-identified spam messages to a network collection point. The user's device will be provisioned with the address of the report collection node in the operator's network, but how this provisioning is done is outside the scope of the enabler. The mechanisms used to initiate a Spam Report and the actions taken by the operator's systems based on the Spam Reports are also outside the scope of the enabler.

5. Document Listing for SpamRep V1.0

This section is normative.

Doc Ref	Permanent Document Reference	Description
Requirement Document		
[SpamRep_RD]	OMA-RD-SpamRep-V1_0-20090811-C	Requirements for Mobile Spam Reporting Enabler
Architecture Document		
[SpamRep_RD]	OMA-AD-SpamRep-V1_0-20090624-D	Architecture for Mobile Spam Reporting Enabler
Technical Specifications		
Supporting Files		

6. OMNA Considerations

<< This section is to be used to describe any OMNA items included in the release. This would include, among others:

- Usage of OMA-based Uniform Resource Names (URNs) (including those used as namespace identifiers in Schemas)
- AppiDs for Application Characteristics (AC)
- Managed Object (MO) information for the MO registry
- ISO Object IDs
- PUSH Application Ids
- WAP Wireless Session Protocol (WSP) Content Types
- Presence <service-description> assignments
- Uniform Resource Identifier (URI)-List Registered Usage Names (for XDM)

The format of this section will be left up to the release owners to account for the particular needs they may run into. It should be clear from the written material, though, as to the set of OMNA items needed.

If a new OMNA registry is needed to support the release - clearly this should have been worked with the REL Committee before submitting a Release Document. Failure to do so may result in delays as the required tables are worked up and made publicly available. Another risk is that the table desired is not supported by OMNA (is not a registry type table) and the group will need to re-think how they intend to resolve their needs.

Through the normal development process the OMNA entries or support registries should be accommodated. This should not be trigger to remove the linkage from this section. Thus, if an entry is added to OMNA after the initial Candidate version described the need - the material should stay in this section. It may be useful in subsequent releases to add some text to indicate that the needed items have been accommodated (e.g. add a comment regarding its availability or support as appropriate).

If the release has absolutely no OMNA items to be accommodated - then it should indicate that explicitly with a short description (e.g. this release does not have any OMNA items for handling). This determination probably can not be made until the end of the development phases and editors are encouraged to keep this advisory in place until the Consistency Review.

DELETE THIS COMMENT >>

7. Conformance Requirements Notation Details

This section is informative

The tables in following chapters use the following notation:

- Item:** Entry in this column **MUST** be a valid `ScrItem` according to [SCRRULES].
- Feature/Application:** Entry in this column **SHOULD** be a short descriptive label to the **Item** in question.
- Requirement:** Expression in the column **MUST** be a valid `TerminalExpression` according to [SCRRULES] and it **MUST** accurately reflect the architectural requirement of the **Item** in question.

8. ERDEF for SpamRep - Client Requirements

This section is normative.

Item	Feature / Application	Requirement
OMA-ERDEF-SpamRep-C-001-<<M/O>>	SpamRep Client	

Table 1: ERDEF for SpamRep Client-side Requirements

9. ERDEF for SpamRep - Server Requirements

This section is normative.

Item	Feature / Application	Requirement
OMA-ERDEF-SpamRep-S-001-<<M/O>>	SpamRep Server	

Table 2: ERDEF for SpamRep Server-side Requirements

Appendix A. Change History (Informative)

A.1 Approved Version History

Reference	Date	Description
n/a	n/a	No prior version –or- No previous version within OMA

A.2 Draft/Candidate Version 1.0 History

Document Identifier	Date	Sections	Description
Draft Versions: OMA-ERELED-SpamRep-V1_0	18 May 2009		Initial baseline for RD review
	26 May 2009	5. Document Listing for SpamRep V1.0	Update the RD draft version, to be ready for RD Formal Review
	17 July	3, 5	Updated accordingly to OMA-RD-SpamRep-V1_0-20090717-D, added the reference to the AD draft, editorial clean-up executed
Candidate Version OMA-ERELED-SpamRep-V1_0	11 Aug 2009	N/A	Status changed to Candidate by TP ref # OMA-TP-2009-0343-INP_SpamRep_V1_0_RD_for_Candidate_Approval