



Enabler Test Report Device Management v1.2

OMA TestFest (December 2006)
Version 15-12-2006

Open Mobile Alliance
OMA-Enabler_Test_Report-DM-12-2006-12-15

This document is a work in process and is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification. This document is subject to revision or removal without notice. No part of this document may be used to claim conformance or interoperability with the Open Mobile Alliance specifications.

© 2006 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All rights reserved.

Terms and conditions of use are available from the Open Mobile Alliance™ Web site at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/copyright.html>.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance™. The Open Mobile Alliance authorises you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services offered by you.

The Open Mobile Alliance™ assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document. In no event shall the Open Mobile Alliance be liable for any special, indirect or consequential damages or any damages whatsoever arising out of or in connection with the use of this information.

This document is not an Open Mobile Alliance™ specification, is not endorsed by the Open Mobile Alliance and is informative only. This document is subject to revision or removal without notice. No part of this document may be used to claim conformance or interoperability with the Open Mobile Alliance specifications.

Open Mobile Alliance™ members have agreed to use reasonable endeavors to disclose in a timely manner to the Open Mobile Alliance the existence of all intellectual property rights (IPR's) essential to the present document. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The information received by the members is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the "OMA IPR Declarations" list at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html>. Essential IPR is available for license on the basis set out in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

No representations or warranties (whether express or implied) are made by the Open Mobile Alliance™ or any Open Mobile Alliance member or its affiliates regarding any of the IPR's represented on this "OMA IPR Declarations" list, including, but not limited to the accuracy, completeness, validity or relevance of the information or whether or not such rights are essential or non-essential.

This document is available online in PDF format at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>.

Known problems associated with this document are published at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>.

Comments regarding this document can be submitted to the Open Mobile Alliance™ in the manner published at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/documents.html>

Contents

- 1. SCOPE4
- 2. REFERENCES.....5
 - 2.1 NORMATIVE REFERENCES5
 - 2.2 INFORMATIVE REFERENCES5
- 3. TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS6
 - 3.1 CONVENTIONS6
 - 3.2 DEFINITIONS.....6
 - 3.3 ABBREVIATIONS6
- 4. SUMMARY7
- 5. TEST DETAILS.....8
 - 5.1 DOCUMENTATION.....8
 - 5.2 TEST CASE STATISTICS9
 - 5.2.1 Test Case Summary.....9
 - 5.2.2 Test Case List10
 - 5.2.3 Problem Reports15
- 6. CONFIRMATION16
- APPENDIX A. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE)17

1. Scope

This report describes the results from the testing carried out at OMA TestFest-17 December 2006 concerning Device Management version 1.2.

2. References

2.1 Normative References

- [OMAIOPPROC] OMA Interoperability Policy and Process, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [EICS] “Enabler Implementation Conformance Statement”, OMA DM 1.2,
OMA-EICS-DM-Client-V1_2-20060727-A, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- “Enabler Implementation Conformance Statement”, OMA DM 1.2,
OMA-EICS-DM-Server-V1_2-20060727-A, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [ERELED] ”Enabler Release Definition for Device Management”, OMA-ERELED-DM-V1_2_20060602-C,
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org](http://www.openmobilealliance.org)
- [ERP] “Enabler Release Package”, OMA-ERP-DM-V1_2-20060602-C,
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org](http://www.openmobilealliance.org)
- [ETG] “Enabler Test Guidelines”, OMA-ETG-DM-V1_2-20061019-A,
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org](http://www.openmobilealliance.org)
- [ETS] “Enabler Test Specification”, OMA-ETS-DM_INT-V1_2-20060706-C,
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org](http://www.openmobilealliance.org)

2.2 Informative References

- [OMADICT] Dictionary for OMA Specification, OMA-Dictionary
<http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>

3. Terminology and Conventions

3.1 Conventions

This is an informative document, i.e. the document does not intend to contain normative statements.

3.2 Definitions

SCTS	SyncML Conformance Test Suite.
Test Object	The implementation under test is referred to as the Test Object. In this document, the Client.
Test Case	A Test Case is an individual test used to verify the conformance of the Test Object to a particular mandatory feature of the protocol. A 4-digit number identifies Test Cases where the first two digits denote the Test Group ID.
Test Group	A Test Group is a collection of Test Cases, which are executed, in a single SyncML session in SCTS conformance test tool.
<Node>	Path from the root to the interior node that is configured to the SCTS before the testing is done (e.g.. './SyncML/DMAcc' or './DevDetail'). Test case is driven to this configured interior node. The <Node> can be different between different Test Cases.
<Leaf> or <Leaf#n>	Leaf node(s) that is configured to the SCTS before the testing is done (e.g.. 'SwV' and/or 'Name'). Test case is driven to this configured interior node. The <Leaf> can be different between different Test Cases.

3.3 Abbreviations

DM	Device Management
DSDM	Data Synchronization Device Management
EICS	Enabler Implementation Conformance Statement
ETP	Enabler Test Plan
ETS	Enabler Test Specification
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
PR	Problem Report
SCTS	Synchronization Conformance Test Suite

4. Summary

This report gives details of the testing carried out during the OMA TestFest-17 (December 2006) for Device Management v1.2.

The report is compiled on behalf of OMA by the OMA Trusted Zone.

The work and reporting has followed the OMA IOP processes and policies [OMAIOPPROC].

5. Test Details

5.1 Documentation

This chapter lists the details of the enabler and any documentation, tools or test suites used to prove the enabler.

Date:	1st to 8th December 2006
Location:	Montreal, Canada
Enabler:	DM v1.2
Process:	OMA Interoperability Policy and Process [OMAIOPPROC]
Type of Testing	Interoperability Testing
Products tested:	Client-to-server, Client-to-Client
Test Guidelines:	DM 1.2 Enabler Test Guidelines [ETG] OMA-ETG-DM-V1_2-20061019-A
Test Specification:	DM Enabler Test Specification [ETS]: OMA-ETS-DM-V1_2-20060706-C
Test Tool:	None
Test Code:	None
Type of Test event:	TestFest
Participants:	Alox, Bitfone Corp., Samsung Telecommunications America, Sony Ericsson, mFormation technologies Inc., Nokia, Synchronica plc
Number of Client Products:	4
Participating Technology Providers for clients:	Alox, Bitfone Corp., Samsung Telecommunications America, Sony Ericsson
Number of Server Products:	5
Participating Technology Providers for servers:	Alox, Bitfone Corp., mFormation technologies Inc., Nokia, Synchronica plc
Number of test sessions completed:	18

5.2 Test Case Statistics

5.2.1 Test Case Summary

This chapter gives an overview of the result for all test cases included in [ETS].

The following status is used in the tables below:

- **Number of test sessions:** Used in the summary to indicate the total number of time slots used for the official testing.
- **Total number of TCs:** Used in the summary to indicate how many test cases there are in total (total number of the interoperability test cases in the ETS).
- **Number of Passed:** Used in the summary to indicate how many test cases have been run and successfully passed.
- **Number of Failed:** Used in the summary to indicate how many test cases have been run and failed (used when the failure reason is known).
- **Number of INC:** Used in the summary to indicate how many test cases have been run and did not pass due to other nature than conclusive implementation or specification failure (e.g.: the failure reason cannot be clearly determined).
- **Number of N/A:** Used in the summary to indicate how many test cases have not be run due to lack of support for the required functionality to run this test case by one or more involved implementations.
- **Number of OT:** Used in the summary to indicate how many test cases have not been run due to lack of time.

Test Section:	Number of test sessions:	Total number of TCs:	Number of Passed:	Number of Failed:	Number of N/A:	Number of OT:	Number of INC:	Total:
Client to Server TCs	18	40	435	2	240	42	1	720
Total	18	40	435	2	240	42	1	720

Table 1. Test Summary Table

5.2.2 Test Case List

This chapter lists the statistics for all all interoperability test cases included in [ETS].

The following status is used in the tables below:

- **Runs (R):** Used to indicate the total number of times the test case have been run ($R = P + F + I$).
- **Pass (P):** Used to indicate how many times the test case have been run and successfully passed.
- **Fail (F):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have been run and failed (used when the failure reason is known).
- **Inconclusive (I):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have been run and did not pass due to other nature than conclusive implementation or specification failure (e.g.: the failure reason cannot be clearly determined).
- **Not Applicable (N/A):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have not be run due to lack of support for the required functionality to run this test case by one or more involved implementations.
- **Out of Time (O):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have not been run due to lack of time.
- **Problem Report (PR):** Used to indicate how many PRs have been issued for the test case.
- **Note:** Used to indicate the cause of the Inconclusive or Failed results.

Tests for DM v1.2 Enabler TestFest Taken From OMA-ETS-DM_INT-V1_2-20060706-C

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-001	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with MD-5 client authentication.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-002	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with MD-5 server authentication.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-003	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with the GET command on a leaf node.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-004	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with the GET command on a node that doesn't exist.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-005	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with the GET command on an interior node.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-006	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with the GET on an inaccessible leaf node.	17	17	0	1	0	0		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-007	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with REPLACE on permanent leaf node.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-008	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with management node ACL behaviour.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-009	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with the error handling when connection failure occurs during the SyncML DM session.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-010	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with HMAC client authentication.	16	16	0	2	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-011	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with HMAC server authentication.	16	16	0	2	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-012	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with the large object/multiple commands.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-013	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with notification initiated session.	8	8	0	1	0	9		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-014	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with Server Initiated bootstrap using Client Provisioning Profile.	8	6	2	1	0	9		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-015	Purpose of this test is to check that a Device Management client supports bootstrap from the Smart Card using the Client Provisioning profile	0	0	0	1	0	17		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-016	Purpose of this test is to check that a Device Management client supports bootstrap from the Smart Card using the Device Management Profile and WBXML encoded TNDIS objects for the bootstrap information	0	0	0	1	0	17		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-017	Purpose of this test is to check that a Client removes from the DM tree the account information for a DM Server previously bootstrapped from the Smart Card when that information is no longer present in the Smart Card	0	0	0	1	0	17		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-018	Purpose of this test is to check that a DM client supports server initiated bootstrap using the DM profile, WBXML encoded TNDS objects and the Inbox, under transport neutral security when the transport method used does not have appropriate security. NETWORKID is used.	0	0	0	1	0	17		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-019	Purpose of this test is to check that a DM client supports server initiated bootstrap using the DM profile WBXML encoded TNDS objects and the Inbox under transport neutral security when the transport method used does not have appropriate security. USERPIN is used.	0	0	0	1	0	17		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-020	Purpose of this test is to check that a DM client supports server initiated bootstrap using the DM profile, WBXML encoded TNDS objects and the Inbox, under transport neutral security when the transport method used does not have appropriate security. USERPIN_NETWORKID is used.	0	0	0	1	0	17		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-021	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with UI Display Alert.	13	13	0	1	0	4		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-022	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with UI Confirmation Alert.	13	13	0	1	0	4		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-023	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with UI Text Input Alert.	13	13	0	1	0	4		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-024	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with UI Single Choice Alert.	13	13	0	1	0	4		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-025	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with UI Multiple Choice Alert.	13	13	0	1	0	4		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-026	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with the server reading subtree structure without data from part of the management tree.	11	11	0	1	0	6		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-027	Purpose of this verification is to show compliance with the server reading subtree structure and data from part of the management tree.	11	11	0	1	0	6		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-028	Purpose of this verification is to verify creation of new Application Setting in client using DM server	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-029	Purpose of this verification is to verify modification of Application Settings in client using DM server.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-030	Purpose of this verification is to verify deletion of Application Settings in client using DM server.	17	17	0	1	0	0		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-031	Purpose of this test is to check that a DM client supports the Inbox object and that the information in the Inbox is correctly mapped onto the DM tree	5	5	0	1	0	12		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-032	Purpose of this test is to check that a Device Management client rejects Get operations from a DM server on the “./Inbox” node.	3	3	0	1	0	14		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-033	Purpose of this test is to verify backwards compatibility between a DM 1.2 server and a DM 1.1.2 client.	7	7	0	1	0	10		
DeviceManagement -v1.2-int-034	Purpose of this test case is to check if the Test Object supports implicit addition of parent interior nodes for an addition of a child node whose valid parent/parents does not exist in the DM Tree	14	14	0	1	0	3		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-035	To check if the Test Object can handle a Get with 'list=TNDS'. DM Server issues a Get on './DevDetail?list=TNDS+ACL+Format+Value'	4	4	0	1	0	13		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-036	To check if the Test Object can handle the copy command, It would be followed by a Get command on both the URI	7	7	0	1	0	10		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-037	Purpose of this verification is to show capability of correlator use	17	17	0	1	0	0	0040	
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-038	Purpose of this verification is to show capability to add a serialized management object to the DM tree	3	3	0	1	0	14		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-039	Purpose of this verification is to test transport layer authentication using TLS 1.0	9	9	0	1	0	8		
DeviceManagement-v1.2-int-040	Purpose of this verification is to test transport layer authentication using SSL 3.0	13	12	0	1	1	4		

Table 2. Test Case Counts

5.2.3 Problem Reports

During the activities for TestFest-17, the following problem reports were generated relating to the test materials and test process:

PR Number	Affecting	Description	Test Case reference / Specification reference
0040	Specification	In the ETS chapter 7.30 the valid status code for an Exec should be 200 AND 202 - as the response to the Exec can be asynchronous.	OMA-ETS-DM_INT-V1_2-20060524-C (7.30)/OMA-TS-DM-RepPro-V1_2(6.6.6 Exec)
0041	Specification	FUMO Management Object identifier specified differently in ETS (urn:oma:mo:oma-fumo:1.0) and TS DM (urn:oma:mo:fumo:1.0) documents	OMA-TS-DM-StdObj-V1_2
0042	Test Suite Problem (TSD)	When run OMA DM v1.2 SCTS tool as a Server, we identified an issue for test case #1203 and would like OMA to clarify it.	OMA-ETS-DM-V1_2-20051107-D (5.12.3)
0043	Specification	When run OMA DM 1.2 SCTS as a server, we find the definition of how to handling Copy command isn't clear in OMA Representation protocol - DM usage spec. Recommend OMA DM group to clarify it.	OMA-ETS-DM-V1_2-20051107-D (5.24.1)/OMA-TS-DM-RepPro-V1_2 (section 6.6.4)

Full details of all Problem Reports can be found at:

<http://www.openmobilealliance.org/OMA-Problem-Reporting-System.html>

6. Confirmation

This signature states that the included information is true and valid.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Alan P. [unclear]", with a horizontal line extending to the right from the end of the signature.

OMA Trusted Zone

Appendix A. Change History (Informative)

Type of Change	Date	Section	Description
New Version	15 th December 2006	All	New Version for TestFest-17
Initial Version	8 th December 2006	All	First Draft Version for TestFest-17