



Browsing 2.4 Requirements

Candidate Version 2.4 –28 Jan 2008

Open Mobile Alliance
OMA-RD-Browsing-V2_4-20080128-C

Use of this document is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Use Agreement located at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html>.

Unless this document is clearly designated as an approved specification, this document is a work in process, is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification, and is subject to revision or removal without notice.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. Information contained in this document may be used, at your sole risk, for any purposes. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance. The Open Mobile Alliance authorizes you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services. The Open Mobile Alliance assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document.

Each Open Mobile Alliance member has agreed to use reasonable endeavours to inform the Open Mobile Alliance in a timely manner of Essential IPR as it becomes aware that the Essential IPR is related to the prepared or published specification. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The declared Essential IPR is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the “OMA IPR Declarations” list at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html>. The Open Mobile Alliance has not conducted an independent IPR review of this document and the information contained herein, and makes no representations or warranties regarding third party IPR, including without limitation patents, copyrights or trade secret rights. This document may contain inventions for which you must obtain licenses from third parties before making, using or selling the inventions. Defined terms above are set forth in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE “OMA IPR DECLARATIONS” LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.

THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

© 2008 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Used with the permission of the Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. under the terms set forth above.

Contents

1. SCOPE (INFORMATIVE)	5
2. REFERENCES	6
2.1 NORMATIVE REFERENCES	6
2.2 INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	6
3. TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS	7
3.1 CONVENTIONS	7
3.2 DEFINITIONS	7
3.3 ABBREVIATIONS	7
4. INTRODUCTION (INFORMATIVE)	8
4.1 PREVIOUS VERSIONS	8
4.2 VERSION 2.4	8
5. USE CASES (INFORMATIVE)	9
5.1 CONVERGENCE TO W3C STANDARDS	9
5.1.1 Short Description	9
5.1.2 Actors.....	9
5.1.3 Pre-conditions	9
5.1.4 Post-conditions.....	9
5.1.5 Normal Flow	9
5.1.6 Alternative Flow	9
5.1.7 Operational and Quality of Experience Requirements.....	10
5.2 INTEGRATE-ABILITY	10
5.2.1 Short Description	10
5.2.2 Actors.....	10
5.2.3 Pre-conditions	10
5.2.4 Post-conditions.....	10
5.2.5 Normal Flow	10
5.2.6 Alternative Flow	11
5.2.7 Operational and Quality of Experience Requirements.....	11
5.3 RICH MEDIA USE	11
5.3.1 Short Description	11
5.3.2 Actors.....	11
5.3.3 Pre-conditions	11
5.3.4 Post-conditions.....	11
5.3.5 Normal Flow	11
5.3.6 Operational and Quality of Experience Requirements.....	12
6. REQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)	13
6.1 HIGH-LEVEL FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	13
6.1.1 Security	13
6.1.2 Charging.....	14
6.1.3 Administration and Configuration	14
6.1.4 Usability.....	14
6.1.5 Interoperability.....	15
6.1.6 Privacy	15
6.2 OVERALL SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS	15
APPENDIX A. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE)	16
A.1 APPROVED VERSION HISTORY	16
A.2 DRAFT/CANDIDATE VERSION 2.4 HISTORY	16

Tables

Table 1: High-Level Functional Requirements	13
Table 2: High-Level Functional Requirements – Security Items	13
Table 3: High-Level Functional Requirements – Authentication Items	13
Table 4: High-Level Functional Requirements – Authorization Items	13
Table 5: High-Level Functional Requirements – Data Integrity Items	14
Table 6: High-Level Functional Requirements – Confidentiality Items	14
Table 7: High-Level Functional Requirements – Charging Items	14
Table 8: High-Level Functional Requirements – Administration and Configuration Items	14
Table 9: High-Level Functional Requirements – Usability Items	14
Table 10: High-Level Functional Requirements – Interoperability Items.....	15
Table 11: High-Level Functional Requirements – Privacy Items.....	15
Table 12: High-Level System Requirements	15

1. Scope

(Informative)

This document defines the requirements for the second phase of the Browsing Enhancements work item.

It addresses the requirements to enhance HTTP-based XHTML and other XML application user agents to meet the current market requirements like converged standards, composite document handling, enhanced security, and easy integration of applications.

It will include convergence in XHTML Mobile Profile, WCSS, restructuring of WAEspec for integration usability, and rich dynamic media support (e.g. RME).

2. References

2.1 Normative References

- [XHTMLBASIC11] “XHTML Basic, Version 1.1”, W3C Candidate Recommendation 13 July 2006
<http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/CR-xhtml-basic-20070713>
- [CSSMP20] “CSS Mobile Profile, Version 2.0”, W3C Working Draft 8 December 2006
<http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/WD-css-mobile-20061208>
- [SVGT12] “SVG Tiny, Version 1.2”, W3C Candidate Recommendation 10 August 2006
<http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/CR-SVGMobile12-20060810/>
- [XHTMLMOD10] “Modularization of XHTML™”, W3C Recommendation 10 April 2001.
URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml-modularization/>
- [RME-RD] “Rich Media Environment Requirements”, , Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-RD_Rich-Media-Environment-V1_0, [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)
- [WAEspec23] “Wireless Application Environment Specification”, Open Mobile Alliance, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-WAP-TS-WAEspec_V2_3, , [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/](http://www.openmobilealliance.org/)

2.2 Informative References

- [BrowsingEnhancementPhaseOne] “Browsing Enhancement PhaseOne version 1.0”, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-RD_BrowsingEnhancementsOne-V1_0, URL: <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [XHTMLMP12] “XHTML Mobile Profile, Version 1.2”, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-TS-XHTMLMP-V1_2,
URL: <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [WCSS11] “Wireless Cascading Style Sheets version 1.1”, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-WAP-WCSS-V1_1,
URL: <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>

3. Terminology and Conventions

3.1 Conventions

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All sections and appendixes, except “Scope” and “Introduction”, are normative, unless they are explicitly indicated to be informative.

This is an informative document, which is not intended to provide testable requirements to implementations.

3.2 Definitions

Note: Noefinitions in this document

3.3 Abbreviations

OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
W3C	World Wide Web Consortium
XHTML	Extensible Hyper Text Markup Language
XHTMLMP	XHTML Mobile Profile

4. Introduction (Informative)

Browsing Enhancements is a general work item for new browser capabilities. The proposed enhancements in the second phase are the result of proposals from member companies to provide convergence to single standards in the mobile market and enhance usability and interoperability for browsing.

The areas being addressed by phase two are:

- **Convergence:** Convergence to XHTML Basic 1.1, CSS Mobile Profile2.0, and XHTML Modularization 1.1.
- **Integrability:** Restructuring of WAEspec to enable easy reuse of technology components in other OMA enablers.
- **Rich and Dynamic Content:** RME

4.1 Previous Versions

The RD of the previous Browsing releases until 2.3 is covered by [BrowsingEnhancementPhaseOne].

4.2 Version 2.4

This RD is the final RD for WID0022 Browsing Enhancements. This completes the work related to the WID0022. Therefore, this RD defines the "end of road" in the Browsing Enhancements roadmap. This work item realized addition of mandatory CSS properties, addition of market-driven browser conformance for interoperability, addition of mandatory UAProf and other minor enhancements with Browsing 2.2, and 2.3 enablers. This RD will lead to the Browsing 2.4 Enabler release, which will be the final release of Browsing 2.x series Enablers.

WID 0022 described browsing enhancement, which is wide open definition, but the enhancements expected at defining WID 0022 are achieved with this RD and it reaches the end of road.

Any further work on enhancing browsing features will be covered by the new work items if required.

5. Use Cases (Informative)

5.1 Convergence to W3C Standards

5.1.1 Short Description

In Markup languages, style sheet languages, scripting languages and their binding to DOM and other host object APIs, it is desirable that the OMA Enabler standards and W3C standards maintain the maximum identicalness in syntax level.

5.1.2 Actors

- Content authors: people to author the content to be delivered to the end users
- User Agent Implementers: people to implement the user agent behaviors.

5.1.2.1 Actor Specific Issues

Nothing.

5.1.2.2 Actor Specific Benefits

- Content author benefits: They can depend on the single standard for syntactic matters to create contents for markup language, style sheet language, scripting language, APIs for host objects.
- User Agent implementers: They can depend on the single standard for syntactic matters to implement user agents for markup language, style sheet language, scripting language, APIs for host objects.

5.1.3 Pre-conditions

The external standards (e.g. W3C) should be stable.

5.1.4 Post-conditions

Nothing applicable.

5.1.5 Normal Flow

1. Jim, a content author in a content provider company, creates a content based on W3C standards, W3c-based markup language for the mobile Internet, stylesheet language for the mobile Internet, scripting language and its binding for the mobile Internet.
2. Nancy, an end user using a user agent based on the OMA enabler standards, request a content created by Jim
3. The content is downloaded to the Nancy's handset, and the user agent in the handset satisfactorily renders and interacts with Nancy.

5.1.6 Alternative Flow

1. Jim, a content author in a content provider company, creates a content based on OMA standards, OMA-based markup language for the mobile Internet, stylesheet language for the mobile Internet, scripting language and its binding for the mobile Internet.
2. Nancy, an end user using a user agent based on the W3C enabler standards, request a content created by Tom
3. The content is downloaded to the Nancy's handset, and the user agent in the handset satisfactorily renders and interacts with Nancy.

5.1.7 Operational and Quality of Experience Requirements

Nothing applicable.

5.2 Integrate-ability

5.2.1 Short Description

The mark up languages, stylesheet languages, scripting languages, host object APIs should provide an easy reuse in different OMA enablers.

5.2.2 Actors

- Content authors: people to author the content to be delivered to the end users
- User Agent Implementers: people to implement the user agent behaviors.

5.2.2.1 Actor Specific Issues

Nothing.

5.2.2.2 Actor Specific Benefits

- Content author benefits: They can depend on the single standard for syntactic matters to create contents for markup language, style sheet language, scripting language, APIs for host objects.
- User Agent implementers: They can depend on the single standard for syntactic matters to implement user agents for markup language, style sheet language, scripting language, APIs for host objects.

5.2.3 Pre-conditions

The external standards (e.g. W3C) should be stable.

5.2.4 Post-conditions

Nothing applicable.

5.2.5 Normal Flow

1. Kaz, a user agent implementer, creates a new communication service based on OMA enablers. Kaz wants to realize a simple user-to-user communication, but with colourful styling.
2. Kaz refers to the Browsing enabler just for rendering text with color.
3. Kaz implements his application using the reusable component of Browsing enablers.
4. Nancy, a user of Kaz's communication application uses its commutation facility with Keith.
5. Nancy and Keith users this commutation service with the minimum overhead footprint in their handsets with the reuse of the Browsing enablers.

[Multiple Delivery Contexts Case]

1. Jim, a content author in a content provider, would like to delivery his authored content using HTTP, digital broadcast, and off-line portable memory card.
2. Nancy, a end user received Jim's content by multiple delivery contexts (HTTP, digital broadcast, and offline portable memory card)

3. Nancy enjoys the content at her handset

5.2.6 Alternative Flow

Not applicable.

5.2.7 Operational and Quality of Experience Requirements

Nothing applicable.

5.3 Rich Media Use

5.3.1 Short Description

The mark up language enabler needs to provide an easy integration of rich media environment [RME-RD] with additional scene management facilities in the scripting environment.

5.3.2 Actors

- Content authors: people to author the content to be delivered to the end users
- User Agent Implementers: people to implement the user agent behaviors.
- Users: people to download the rich media content and interact with it.

5.3.2.1 Actor Specific Issues

Nothing.

5.3.2.2 Actor Specific Benefits

- Content author benefits: They can use mark up, styling and scripting capability to add the detailed rich media experience for end users .
- User Agent implementers: They can depend on the single standard for syntactic matters to implement user agents for markup language, style sheet language, scripting language, APIs for host objects to enable the rich media applications.
- Users: They can enjoy the rich media applications using the standardized API for host object manipulation.

5.3.3 Pre-conditions

Nothing applicable.

5.3.4 Post-conditions

Nothing applicable.

5.3.5 Normal Flow

1. Jim, a content author in a content provider company, creates a rich media content based on markup languages, scripting language and style sheet languages to add fine detailed processing of rich media applications to manipulate the rich media scene updates.
2. Nancy, an end user using a user agent based on the OMA enabler standards, request a content created by Jim
3. The content is downloaded to the Nancy's handset, and the user agent in the handset satisfactorily renders and interacts with Nancy with the enhanced scene updates for rich media applications..

5.3.6 Operational and Quality of Experience Requirements

Nothing applicable.

6. Requirements (Normative)

6.1 High-Level Functional Requirements

Label	Description	Enabler Release
BRO24-FUNC-001	The Browsing Enabler SHALL provide convergence in content markup (e.g. markup languages) to W3C standards [XHTMLBASIC11] [XHTMLMOD10]	Browsing 2.4
BRO24-FUNC-002	The Browsing Enabler SHALL provide convergence in styling (e.g. stylesheet languages) to W3C standards [CSSMP20].	Browsing 2.4
BRO24-FUNC-003	The Browsing Enabler SHALL provide convergence in scripting (e.g. scripting languages) for RME functionalities to W3C standards [SVGT12].	Browsing 2.4
BRO24-FUNC-004	The Browsing enabler SHOULD provide easy re-use of Browsing components in browsing environment and other OMA Enablers (embedded or standalone use of component technology)	Browsing 2.4
BRO24-FUNC-005	The Browsing Enabler SHALL provide underlying functionalities required by RME Enabler [RME-RD] .	Browsing 2.4

Table 1: High-Level Functional Requirements

6.1.1 Security

Note: No new security requirements in security.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 2: High-Level Functional Requirements – Security Items

6.1.1.1 Authentication

Note: No new requirements in Authentication.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 3: High-Level Functional Requirements – Authentication Items

6.1.1.2 Authorization

Note: No new requirements in Authorization.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 4: High-Level Functional Requirements – Authorization Items

6.1.1.3 Data Integrity

Note: No new requirements in Data Integrity.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 5: High-Level Functional Requirements – Data Integrity Items

6.1.1.4 Confidentiality

Note: No new requirements in Confidentiality.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 6: High-Level Functional Requirements – Confidentiality Items

6.1.2 Charging

Note: No new requirements in Charging.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 7: High-Level Functional Requirements – Charging Items

6.1.3 Administration and Configuration

Note: No new requirements in Administration and Configuration.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 8: High-Level Functional Requirements – Administration and Configuration Items

6.1.4 Usability

Note: No new requirements in Usability.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 9: High-Level Functional Requirements – Usability Items

6.1.5 Interoperability

Label	Description	Enabler Release
BRO24-INT-001	The Enabler SHALL support the identical DTD with W3C XHTML Basic 1.1 [XHTMLBASIC11].	Browsing 2.4
BRO24-INT-002	The Enabler SHALL support the identical CSS properties with W3C CSS Mobile Profile 2.0 [CSSMP20]	Browsing 2.4
BRO24-INT-003	The Enabler SHALL support SVGT 1.2 uDOM [SVGT12]	Browsing 2.4
BRO24-INT-004	The Enabler SHALL support backward compatibility with Browsing 2.3 [WAEspec23]	Browsing 2.4

Table 10: High-Level Functional Requirements – Interoperability Items

6.1.6 Privacy

Note: No new requirements in Privacy.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 11: High-Level Functional Requirements – Privacy Items

6.2 Overall System Requirements

Note: No new requirements in Overall System Requirements.

Label	Description	Enabler Release

Table 12: High-Level System Requirements

Appendix A. Change History

(Informative)

A.1 Approved Version History

Reference	Date	Description
n/a	n/a	No prior version –or- No previous version within OMA

A.2 Draft/Candidate Version 2.4 History

Document Identifier	Date	Sections	Description
Draft Versions OMA-BrowsingEnhancement-V1_0	08 Nov 2006		Initial Blank use case version
	15 Feb 2007	5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 6.1	Incorporates input to committee: OMA-MAE-2006-0405, OMA-MAE-2006-0406R01, OMA-MAE-2006-0407R02 ,OMA-MAE-2007-0041R01
	04 Apr 2007	1, 2.1, 2.2, 6.1	Incorporate input to committee: OMA-MAE-2007-0067R02
Draft Versions OMA-RD-Browsing24-V1_0	15 May 2007	1, 2.1, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 6.1, 6.2	Incorporate input to committee: OMA-MAE-2007-0085R02- CR_Browsing24RD_from_1st_informal_REQ_review
	17 Jul 2007	2.1 Appendix B	Incorporate input to committee: OMA-MAE-2007-0154-CR_Browsing24RD
	19 Jul 2007	2.1, 2.2	Incorporate input to committee OMA-MAE-2007-0157-CR_Browsing24RD_editorialReferenceFixes
OMA-RD-Browsing-V2_4	08 Nov 2007	All	Updated as per comments from REL prior to TP Candidate Approval: RD name fixed to match with Release name Editor's Note removed from 2.1 Styles fixed for Abbreviations table Fixed cross-references Section 4 revised as per 2007 template and typo fixed
	21 Nov 2007	All	Implemented Class 3 CR (details above) OMA-MAE-2007-0230R01-CR_Browsing24RD_with_RELcomments.zip
Candidate versions: OMA-RD-Browsing-V2_4	28 Jan 2008		Approved as Candidate by TP OMA-TP-2007-0449R02-INP_Browsing_V2_4_RD_for_Candidate_Approval