



OMA RD RICD Requirements

Approved Version 1.0 – 03 Apr 2012

Open Mobile Alliance
OMA-RD-RICD-V1_0-20120403-A

Use of this document is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Use Agreement located at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html>.

Unless this document is clearly designated as an approved specification, this document is a work in process, is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification, and is subject to revision or removal without notice.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. Information contained in this document may be used, at your sole risk, for any purposes. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance. The Open Mobile Alliance authorizes you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services. The Open Mobile Alliance assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document.

Each Open Mobile Alliance member has agreed to use reasonable endeavours to inform the Open Mobile Alliance in a timely manner of Essential IPR as it becomes aware that the Essential IPR is related to the prepared or published specification. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The declared Essential IPR is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the “OMA IPR Declarations” list at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html>. The Open Mobile Alliance has not conducted an independent IPR review of this document and the information contained herein, and makes no representations or warranties regarding third party IPR, including without limitation patents, copyrights or trade secret rights. This document may contain inventions for which you must obtain licenses from third parties before making, using or selling the inventions. Defined terms above are set forth in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE “OMA IPR DECLARATIONS” LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.

THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

© 2012 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Used with the permission of the Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. under the terms set forth above.

Contents

- 1. SCOPE (INFORMATIVE)4
- 2. REFERENCES5
 - 2.1 NORMATIVE REFERENCES5
 - 2.2 INFORMATIVE REFERENCES5
- 3. TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS6
 - 3.1 CONVENTIONS6
 - 3.2 DEFINITIONS6
 - 3.3 ABBREVIATIONS6
- 4. INTRODUCTION (INFORMATIVE).....7
 - 4.1 OMA-DRM-RICD 1.0.....7
- 5. RICD RELEASE DESCRIPTION (INFORMATIVE)8
- 6. REQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE).....9
 - 6.1 MARKET9
 - 6.2 DOMAIN9
- APPENDIX A. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE).....10
 - A.1 APPROVED VERSION HISTORY10

Figures

- Figure 1 Diagram of RICD System8
- Figure 2 Example of Content Packet with RI Context Information8

Tables

- Table 1: Market Requirements9
- Table 2: Domain Requirements.....9

1. Scope (Informative)

This document defines the requirements for the extension of OMA DRM Domain functionality OMA DRM 2.1.

The aim is to update OMA DRM in order to maintain its use based on current DRM market requirements:

- Sharing of Domain context among multiple Rights Issuers.
- Separation of Rights Issuer and domain management functionality.
- Support for offline delivery of Rights Issuer context
- Support for additional, optional file formats.

This update will also clarify or correct some inconsistencies of the OMA DRM Domain functionality.

Requirements in this document are backward compatible, i.e. requirements applying for a given version of the DRM apply to higher versions, unless stated otherwise.

2. References

2.1 Normative References

- [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS] "OMA DRM Specification", Approved Version 2.1.1 – 06 Avr 2010, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-TS-DRM-DRM-V2_1_1-20100406-A, URL: <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [RFC2119] "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", S. Bradner, March 1997, URL:<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

2.2 Informative References

- [DRMARCH-v2.1] "DRM Architecture V2.0", Approved Version 2.1 – Oct 2008, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-AD-DRM-V2_1-20081014-A, URL:<http://www.openmobilealliance.org>
- [OMADICT] "Dictionary for OMA Specifications", Approved Version 2.6 – 14 Jun 2007, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-ORG-Dictionary-V2_6-20070614-A, URL:<http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>

3. Terminology and Conventions

3.1 Conventions

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All sections and appendixes, except “Scope” and “Introduction”, are normative, unless they are explicitly indicated to be informative.

3.2 Definitions

Device	Use definition from [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS]
Domain	Use definition from [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS]
Domain Context	Use definition from [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS]
Domain Rights Object	Use definition from [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS]
Join Domain	Use definition from [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS]
Leave Domain	Use definition from [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS]
RI Context	Use definition from [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS]
Rights Issuer	Use definition from [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS]

3.3 Abbreviations

DRM	Digital Rights Management
OID	Object Identifiers
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
RI	Rights Issuer
RICD	Rights Issuer Common Domain

4. Introduction

(Informative)

Centralize domain control authority requirements are currently being defined in the commercial content distribution environment which has identified some extensions and clarifications to OMA DRM Domain in order for OMA DRM to support these new domain requirements while maintaining integrity.

4.1 OMA-DRM-RICD 1.0

It is clear that the existing installed base of DRM 2.1 implementations need to be enhanced to meet the evolving content distribution market that includes some of the following new features:

- Sharing of Domain Context among multiple Rights Issuers.
- Separation of Rights Issuer and domain management functionality
- Support for offline delivery of Rights Issuer Context information
- Support for additional, optional file format

5. RICD release description (Informative)

This RICD Work Item purpose is to create additional market benefits by introducing the following features to OMA DRM v2.1. More flexible domain model to meet market demand specifically: Sharing of Domain Context among multiple Rights Issuers, separation of Rights Issuer and Domain management functionality (Join Domain and Leave Domain), Support for offline delivery of Rights Issuer context, and Support for new, standard file format.

Enabling OMA Domain context to be permitted to be shared across one or more different RIs permits different business entities to operate RIs independently under a common domain control authority that issue valid Domain Rights objects while maintaining the integrity of OMA DRM Domains. Since RI context is based in part on public keying material under RICD the keying material for each RI is different permitting revocation of one RI without affect other RIs that are part of a common domain. RIs that are part of a common domain are indicated via additional information in the RIs certificate.

Furthermore domain functions like setup, device join, and device leave are only provided by an RI (RI_A below) that is separate and distinct from the RIs that issue Domain rights objects (RI_B and RI_C below) in conjunction with the Domain control authority. The Domain Control Authority in following diagram represents the business entity or entities that generates and maintains the Domain context that is shared between RI_A that manages the domain and RI_B and RI_C that generate domain ROs. Note, Domain Control Authority and the RIs (A, B, and C) may be the same or different business entities. The Domain Control Authority interaction and interface to RIs are out of scope of OMA.




Figure 1 Diagram of RICD System

The delivery of RI context via offline methods in conjunction with associated content delivery supports the use of such content by the owner of a device that is part of a domain associate to the user when the device is not connected and has yet to establish RI context with the RI that generated the Rights Object for such content. The main scenario would be when a RI generates a Domain RO for a specific unit of content, the RI would then attached so to speak the domain RO and its RI context so that any device that is a member of the domain the Domain RO was generated for is capable of rendering the content when ask to render by the user, does not have RI context and can not connect to the RI reference in the Domain RO. One example would be a simplified content container with a RO for Domain X with RI Context is shown below:




Figure 2 Example of Content Packet with RI Context Information

6. Requirements

(Normative)

6.1 Market

Label	Description	Release
REQ-MKT-001	It SHALL be possible to separate rights issuance and domain management functionality of OMA DRM 2.1 and to allow multiple RIs (each RI has its own unique public keying material) to create Domain Rights Objects for a centrally managed domain. This separation SHALL also permit domain context to be distributed across multiple RIs in order to support a central domain control authority.	RICD 1.0
REQ-MKT-002	It SHALL be possible to provide out-of-band delivery of RI Context information with Domain Rights objects as mentioned in 10.3 of [DRM-v2.1-DRM-TS].	RICD 1.0
REQ-MKT-003	It SHALL be clarified that OMA DRM 2.1 permits the use of optional file formats in addition to the DCF.	RICD 1.0
REQ-MKT-004	It SHALL be possible for trust authorities to assign OIDs to indicate RICD RIs and other related information concerning share domain context.	RICD 1.0

Table 1: Market Requirements

6.2 Domain

Label	Description	Release
REQ-DOM-001	It SHALL be possible for the OMA DRM 2.1 Domain Context to be shared among one or more Rights Issuers.	RICD 1.0
REQ-DOM-002	It SHALL be possible to identify Rights Issuer of a group of Rights Issuers that share Domain Context to issue domain management functions join and leave.	RICD 1.0
REQ-DOM-003	It SHALL be possible to identify Rights Issuers of a group of RIs that share Domain Context to issue Domain Rights Objects.	RICD 1.0
REQ-DOM-004	It SHALL be possible for non-OMA OIDs to be defined that identify the group RIs that share Domain Context as well as their function specifically those RIs that process domain management functions and those RIs that generate Domain Rights Objects.	RICD 1.0
REQ-DOM-005	It SHALL be possible to deliver domain Rights Object with corresponding Right Context information offline to Devices that are members of the domain so that the associated content can be rendered if the device is unable to connect to the RI and obtain online RI context.	RICD 1.0

Table 2: Domain Requirements

Appendix A. Change History

(Informative)

A.1 Approved Version History

Reference	Date	Description
OMA-RD-RICD-V1_0	03 Apr 2012	Status changed to Approved by TP: OMA-TP-2012-0134-INP_RICD_V1_0_RRP_for_Final_Approval