Change Document for SyncML OBEX Binding

Specification version: 1.0.1

Specification date: 2000-06-15



Change Document for SyncML OBEX Binding

http://www.syncml.org/docs/changes_for_syncml_obex_v11_20020215.pdf

Version 1.1

2 of 6 Pages

2002-02-15

SyncML Initiative

The following companies are Sponsors of the SyncML Initiative:

Ericsson

IBM

Lotus

Matsushita Communications Industrial Co., Ltd.

Motorola

Nokia

Openwave

Starfish Software

Symbian



Change Document for SyncML OBEX Binding

http://www.syncml.org/docs/changes_for_syncml_obex_v11_20020215.pdf

3 of 6 Pages

Version 1.1 2002-02-15

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) Ericsson, IBM, Lotus, Matsushita Communication Industrial Co., Ltd., Motorola, Nokia, Openwave, Palm, Psion, Starfish Software, Symbian, and others (2000-2002). All Rights Reserved.

Implementation of all or part of any Specification may require licenses under third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights (such a third party may or may not be a Supporter). The Sponsors of the Specification are not responsible and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

THIS DOCUMENT AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN ARE PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" BASIS WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND AND ERICSSON, IBM, LOTUS, MATSUSHITA COMMUNICATION INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD., MOTOROLA, NOKIA, OPENWAVE, PALM, PSION, STARFISH SOFTWARE, SYMBIAN AND ALL OTHER SYNCML SPONSORS DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. IN NO EVENT SHALL ERICSSON, IBM, LOTUS, MATSUSHITA COMMUNICATION INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD., MOTOROLA, NOKIA, OPENWAVE, PALM, PSION, STARFISH SOFTWARE, SYMBIAN OR ANY OTHER SYNCML SPONSOR BE LIABLE TO ANY PARTY FOR ANY LOSS OF PROFITS, LOSS OF BUSINESS, LOSS OF USE OF DATA. INTERRUPTION OF BUSINESS, OR FOR DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL OR EXEMPLARY, INCIDENTAL, PUNITIVE OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OF ANY KIND IN CONNECTION WITH THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSS OR DAMAGE.

The above notice and this paragraph must be included on all copies of this document that are made.

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this specification may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this specification, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. The SyncML Initiative is not responsible for identifying patents having necessary claims for which a license may be required by a SyncML Initiative specification or for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of those patents that are brought to its attention.

A patent/application owner has filed a statement of assurance that it will grant licenses under these rights without compensation or under reasonable rates and nondiscriminatory, reasonable terms and conditions to all applicants desiring to obtain such licenses. The SyncML Initiative makes no representation as to the reasonableness of rates and/or terms and conditions of the license agreements offered by patent/application owners. Further information may be obtained from the SyncML Initiative Executive Director.

SyncML

Change Document for SyncML OBEX Binding

4 of 6 Pages

http://www.syncml.org/docs/changes_for_syncml_obex_v11_20020215.pdf

Version 1.1 2002-02-15

1 Formatting Conventions	5
1.1 Errata Type Classifications	
2 Errata	
3 Enhancements	6
3.1 MIME Types	6
3.1.1 Problem	6
3.1.2 Solution	6
3.1.3 Other specifications/erratas affected	6
4 References	ε



http://www.syncml.org/docs/changes_for_syncml_obex_v11_20020215.pdf

Version 1.1 2002-02-15

1 Formatting Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY" and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interoperated as described in [RFC 2119].

1.1 Errata Type Classifications

The errata types are classified according to the following scheme:

CLARIFICATION: Textual enhancement that provides a clearer explanation of a specification item without changing any behavior.

CORRECTION: A modification that obsoletes some items in the current published specification.

PROBLEM: A known problem for which an erratum has yet to be proposed.

Version 1.1 2002-02-15

2 Errata

None.

3 Enhancements

3.1 MIME Types

3.1.1 Problem

SyncML Binding documents do not include SyncML Device Management MIME types.

3.1.2 Solution

Change the text as described below in section 4.2.2.

Data synchronization client implementations conforming to this specification MUST support this header with either the "application/vnd.syncml+xml" or "application/vnd.syncml+wbxml" media type values. Data synchronization server implementations conforming to this specification MUST support both "application/vnd.syncml+xml" and "application/vnd.syncml+wbxml" media type values, as requested by the SyncML data synchronization client.

Device Management client implementations conforming to this specification MUST support this header with either the "application/vnd.syncml.dm+xml" or "application/vnd.syncml.dm+wbxml" media type values. Device management server implementations conforming to this specification MUST support both "application/vnd.syncml.dm+xml" and "application/vnd.syncml.dm+wbxml" media type values, as requested by the SyncML device management client.

3.1.3 Other specifications/erratas affected

None.

4 References

[RFC 2119] Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, IETF.