



# SyncML Manual Test Cases, version 1.1

## Abstract

These manual test cases are defined by the SyncML Interoperability Committee for use within the SyncML Conformance Testing process.



## Consortium

The following companies are sponsors in the SyncML Initiative:

Ericsson

IBM

Lotus

Matsushita Communications Industrial Co.

Motorola

Nokia

Openwave

Starfish Software

Symbian

## Editor:

Peter Thompson (Starfish), <mailto:peter.thompson@starfish.com>

Rob Scott (IBM), <mailto:robhs@us.ibm.com>

Gilad Tiefenbrun (Symbian), <mailto:gilad.tiefenbrun@symbian.com>

## Revision History

Revision	Date	Comments
1.0	2001-11-02	Final version for release.
1.1	2002-01-02	<u>Updated name of test case #13</u>



## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) **Ericsson, IBM, Lotus, Matsushita Communication Industrial Co., LTD, Motorola, Nokia, Openwave, Starfish Software, Symbian** (2000-2001).

All Rights Reserved.

Implementation of all or part of any Specification may require licenses under third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights (such a third party may or may not be a Supporter). The Sponsors of the Specification are not responsible and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

THIS DOCUMENT AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN ARE PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" BASIS WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND AND ERICSSON, IBM, LOTUS, MATSUSHITA COMMUNICATION INDUSTRIAL CO. LTD, MOTOROLA, NOKIA, OPENWAVE, STARFISH SOFTWARE, SYMBIAN AND ALL OTHER SYNCML SPONSORS DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. IN NO EVENT SHALL ERICSSON, IBM, LOTUS, MATSUSHITA COMMUNICATION INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD, MOTOROLA, NOKIA, OPENWAVE, STARFISH SOFTWARE, SYMBIAN OR ANY OTHER SYNCML SPONSOR BE LIABLE TO ANY PARTY FOR ANY LOSS OF PROFITS, LOSS OF BUSINESS, LOSS OF USE OF DATA, INTERRUPTION OF BUSINESS, OR FOR DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL OR EXEMPLARY, INCIDENTAL, PUNITIVE OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OF ANY KIND IN CONNECTION WITH THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSS OR DAMAGE.

The above notice and this paragraph must be included on all copies of this document that are made.



## Table of Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Using this Document</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Vendor Data</b> .....	<b>9</b>
3.1	Product and Vendor Contact Information .....	9
3.2	Test Results .....	10
<b>4</b>	<b>Manual Test Cases</b> .....	<b>11</b>
4.1	Test Case #1: Initial Two-way Sync .....	11
4.1.1	Purpose.....	11
4.1.2	Setup .....	11
4.1.3	Actions .....	11
4.1.4	Expected Results .....	11
4.1.5	Possible Errors .....	11
4.2	Test Case #2: Two-way Sync with Client and Server Add command	11
4.2.1	Purpose.....	11
4.2.2	Setup .....	11
4.2.3	Actions .....	11
4.2.4	Expected Results .....	12
4.2.5	Possible Errors .....	12
4.3	Test Case #3: Two-way Sync with Client and Server Replace command	12
4.3.1	Purpose.....	12
4.3.2	Setup .....	12
4.3.3	Actions .....	12
4.3.4	Expected Results .....	12
4.3.5	Possible Errors .....	12
4.4	Test Case #4: Two-way Sync with Client and Server Delete command	12
4.4.1	Purpose.....	12
4.4.2	Setup .....	12
4.4.3	Actions .....	12
4.4.4	Expected Results .....	12
4.4.5	Possible Errors .....	12
4.5	Test Case #5: Two-way Sync with Client sending new data .....	13
4.5.1	Purpose.....	13
4.5.2	Setup .....	13



- 4.5.3 Actions ..... 13
- 4.5.4 Expected Results ..... 13
- 4.5.5 Possible Errors ..... 13
- 4.6 Test Case #6: Two-way Sync with Server sending new data.... 13
  - 4.6.1 Purpose..... 13
  - 4.6.2 Setup ..... 13
  - 4.6.3 Actions ..... 13
  - 4.6.4 Expected Results ..... 13
  - 4.6.5 Possible Errors ..... 13
- 4.7 Test Case #7: Two-way Sync with Large number of Objects .... 13
  - 4.7.1 Purpose..... 13
  - 4.7.2 Setup ..... 14
  - 4.7.3 Actions ..... 14
  - 4.7.4 Expected Results ..... 14
  - 4.7.5 Possible Errors ..... 14
- 4.8 Test Case #8: Two-way Sync with Large number of Objects Returned 14
  - 4.8.1 Purpose..... 14
  - 4.8.2 Setup ..... 14
  - 4.8.3 Actions ..... 14
  - 4.8.4 Expected Results ..... 14
  - 4.8.5 Possible Errors ..... 14
- 4.9 Test Case #9: Two-way Sync with Server responding as busy 14
  - 4.9.1 Purpose..... 14
  - 4.9.2 Setup ..... 14
  - 4.9.3 Actions ..... 15
  - 4.9.4 Expected Results ..... 15
  - 4.9.5 Possible Errors ..... 15
- 4.10 Test Case #10: Two-way Sync with Server not responding ..... 15
  - 4.10.1 Purpose..... 15
  - 4.10.2 Setup ..... 15
  - 4.10.3 Actions ..... 15
  - 4.10.4 Expected Results ..... 15
  - 4.10.5 Possible Errors ..... 15
- 4.11 Test Case #11: Two-way Sync with incomplete communication 15
  - 4.11.1 Purpose..... 15
  - 4.11.2 Setup ..... 15



- 4.11.3 Actions ..... 15
- 4.11.4 Expected Results ..... 15
- 4.11.5 Possible Errors ..... 15
- 4.12 Test Case #12: Two-way Slow Sync with data ..... 16
  - 4.12.1 Purpose..... 16
  - 4.12.2 Setup ..... 16
  - 4.12.3 Actions ..... 16
  - 4.12.4 Expected Results ..... 16
  - 4.12.5 Possible Errors ..... 16
- 4.13 Test Case #13: Two-way Slow Sync to restore client data ..... 16
  - 4.13.1 Purpose..... 16
  - 4.13.2 Setup ..... 16
  - 4.13.3 Actions ..... 16
  - 4.13.4 Expected Results ..... 16
  - 4.13.5 Possible Errors ..... 16
- 4.14 Test Case #14: MD5 login authentication..... 17
  - 4.14.1 Purpose..... 17
  - 4.14.2 Setup ..... 17
  - 4.14.3 Actions ..... 17
  - 4.14.4 Expected Results ..... 17
  - 4.14.5 Possible Errors ..... 17
- 5 References ..... 18**



# 1 Introduction

These manual test cases are defined by the SyncML Interoperability Committee (SIC) for use within the SyncML Conformance Testing Process [1]. Until test software is available, these test cases will form the basis of the conformance testing. These test cases may be updated from time to time by the SIC. Publication of revisions of this document will be announced via the SyncML Supporter mailing list, and the revised version will be posted on the SyncML web site [www.syncml.org/interop/index.html](http://www.syncml.org/interop/index.html).

The goals of these manual test cases are:

- Prove comprehensive coverage of required functionality for both clients and servers.
- Prove that the device is sending and receiving valid SyncML messages.
- Prove that the device acts according to the SyncML Protocol.



## 2 Using this Document

After the test cases have been run, this document should be completed by the vendor and returned to the SIC for review, along with a completed SyncML Implementation Conformance Statement Proforma [2]. Electronic submission of test reports will be accepted.





### 3 Vendor Data

#### 3.1 Product and Vendor Contact Information

This section must be completed by the vendor applying for SyncML conformance. The product name is simply an identifier, it does not have to be the public name of the product.

Product Name & Version	
Company	
Contact Name	
Contact Phone	
Contact Email	
Transports supported	OBEX [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] WSP [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] HTTP [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Product is	Client [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Server [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Data types product uses	



### 3.2 Test Results

The vendor must fill out the following table with the results of the tests. The Pass? column must be filled out YES or NO. If the vendor answers NO, then they must fill in the Comment column.

Test #	Pass?	Comment
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		



## 4 Manual Test Cases

Each test case presented here starts with a title and a brief description of the test case. The setup describes any necessary steps prior to actually running the test case. In some of the cases, it will be necessary to view either log files or captured SyncML data to prove the test case worked properly.

### 4.1 Test Case #1: Initial Two-way Sync

#### 4.1.1 Purpose

This test shows basic compliance with the SyncML protocol and representation through the first-time use of a two-way synchronization. The intent is to show the exchange of Device Information as well as an empty two-way synchronization. The test should also show proper implementation of the Sync command when there is no data to send.

#### 4.1.2 Setup

Clear the client and server databases – this will ensure that no data other than Device Information is exchanged. Using viewers, verify that the client and the server contain no records. Create any appropriate synchronization accounts on the client and server.

#### 4.1.3 Actions

Perform a slow two-way synchronization.

Using viewers, verify the two-way sync actually took place. This can be done by viewing captured SyncML data or by viewing log files.

#### 4.1.4 Expected Results

The client and the server should contain no records.

The client and server should have exchanged device information.

The synchronization should have produced no errors.

#### 4.1.5 Possible Errors

Synchronization may fail on transport layer.

Synchronization may fail on protocol layer.

Synchronization may fail on authorization.

Synchronization may fail on device info.

### 4.2 Test Case #2: Two-way Sync with Client and Server Add command

#### 4.2.1 Purpose

This test shows the implementation of the Sync command with data and the Add commands. Simpler devices may send the Replace command instead of the Add command.

#### 4.2.2 Setup

This test requires successful completion of Test Case #1.

This test also requires agreement between the client and server on which objects are to be synchronized (e.g. vCard 2.1).

#### 4.2.3 Actions

Create a new object on both the client and the server, filling in as many fields as possible.

Perform a two-way sync.

Using viewers, verify both objects exist on the client and the server.



#### 4.2.4 Expected Results

Both client and server will contain both objects.  
Server should contain all the fields set in the object created on the client.

#### 4.2.5 Possible Errors

Objects may not appear on the client or the server – this would indicate the Add failed for some reason.  
Data may appear in wrong fields – this would indicate improper implementation of the object.

### 4.3 Test Case #3: Two-way Sync with Client and Server Replace command

#### 4.3.1 Purpose

This test shows implementation of the Replace command, as well as implementation of the ID mapping capability.

#### 4.3.2 Setup

This test requires successful completion of Test Case #2.

#### 4.3.3 Actions

On the client, modify as many fields as possible in the object created on the server.  
On the server, modify as many fields as possible in the object created on the client.  
Perform a two-way sync.  
Using viewers, verify both objects have been updated correctly on client and server.

#### 4.3.4 Expected Results

Both objects should have all the modified fields updated on the client and the server.

#### 4.3.5 Possible Errors

Object modified on client not updated on server – this may be due to Replace failing or MapItem not implemented properly, or mapping implemented incorrectly.  
Object modified on server not updated on client – this may be due to Replace failing, but not mapping implemented incorrectly.

### 4.4 Test Case #4: Two-way Sync with Client and Server Delete command

#### 4.4.1 Purpose

This test shows implementation of the Delete command, as well as implementation of the ID mapping capability.

#### 4.4.2 Setup

This test requires successful completion of Test Case #2 or #3.

#### 4.4.3 Actions

On the client, delete the object created on the server.  
On the server, delete the object created on the client.  
Perform a two-way sync.  
Using viewers, verify both objects have been deleted from the client and the server.

#### 4.4.4 Expected Results

Both objects should be deleted from the client and the server.

#### 4.4.5 Possible Errors

Object deleted on client not deleted on server – this may be due to Delete failing or MapItem not implemented properly.  
Object deleted on server not deleted on client – this may be due Delete failing.



## 4.5 Test Case #5: Two-way Sync with Client sending new data

### 4.5.1 Purpose

This test shows two-way sync with server sending no sync data, and the client sending new data. This will require the sending and handling of an empty Sync command in addition to the handling of new data. It is possible that problems may arise from an empty Sync command being sent as well as a non-empty Sync. It is also possible that problems may arise from the handling of MapItems.

### 4.5.2 Setup

This test requires a previously successful two-way sync.

### 4.5.3 Actions

Create a new object on the client.

Fill in as many fields as possible.

Perform a two-way sync.

Using viewers, verify that object exists correctly on the client and the server.

### 4.5.4 Expected Results

Object should exist on both client and server.

### 4.5.5 Possible Errors

Object may not appear on the server.

Sync may fail due to no data from server (due to empty Sync command).

## 4.6 Test Case #6: Two-way Sync with Server sending new data

### 4.6.1 Purpose

This test shows two-way sync with client sending no sync data, and the server sending new data. This will require the sending and handling of an empty Sync command in addition to the handling of new data. It is possible that problems may arise from an empty Sync command being sent as well as a non-empty Sync.

### 4.6.2 Setup

This test requires a previously successful two-way sync.

### 4.6.3 Actions

Create a new object on the server, filling in as many fields as possible.

Perform a two-way sync.

Using viewers, verify that object exists correctly on the client and the server.

### 4.6.4 Expected Results

The new Object should exist on both client and server.

### 4.6.5 Possible Errors

The Object may not appear on the client – this would indicate the Add failed.

The Sync may fail due to no data in the Sync command from client – the server may fail to handle the empty Sync command.

## 4.7 Test Case #7: Two-way Sync with Large number of Objects

### 4.7.1 Purpose

This test shows server implementation of multiple messages. This test also shows the client's ability to handle multiple messages. If necessary, it will also show the client rejecting messages due to out of memory problems. Note that it may not be possible to show this for some clients.



#### **4.7.2 Setup**

This test requires a previously successful two-way sync. (Note this could be a slow-sync or normal-sync).

#### **4.7.3 Actions**

Create enough Objects on the server to force at least two messages to the client, but not so much as to have the client run out of space.

Perform a two-way sync. (Can be a slow-sync)

Using viewers, verify that the objects exist correctly on the client and the server.

Again, using viewers, show that multiple messages were sent.

#### **4.7.4 Expected Results**

Objects should exist on both the client and the server.

Multiple messages should have been sent by the server.

Multiple messages should have been handled by the client.

#### **4.7.5 Possible Errors**

Not all objects may appear on the client.

Sync was rejected due to single large message sent – the server may not have sent multiple messages.

Crashing due to overloading – the client or server may crash from mishandling out-of-memory problems.

### **4.8 Test Case #8: Two-way Sync with Large number of Objects Returned**

#### **4.8.1 Purpose**

This test shows client implementation of sending multiple messages. This test shows the client's ability to create multiple messages to the server. It also shows the server ability to respond properly to multiple messages from the client.

#### **4.8.2 Setup**

This test requires successful completion of Test Case #7.

#### **4.8.3 Actions**

Modify all objects sent by the server in Test Case #7 (to force at least two messages to the server).

Perform a two-way sync.

Using viewers, verify that the objects exist correctly on the client and the server – this will require viewing each object and verifying the data is correct.

#### **4.8.4 Expected Results**

All Objects should exist on both the client and the server, and their data should match.

#### **4.8.5 Possible Errors**

Not all objects may appear on the client or the server – it is possible that some were deleted or not added due to overloading.

Required fields may not be filled in on the server – the server may not implement object conformance.

Sync was rejected due to single large message sent – the client may have tried to send a single message.

Crashing due to overloading – the client or server may crash from mishandling out-of-memory problems.

### **4.9 Test Case #9: Two-way Sync with Server responding as busy**

#### **4.9.1 Purpose**

This test shows ability of the client to deal with busy alert.

#### **4.9.2 Setup**

Somehow make the server be able to respond as busy.



### 4.9.3 Actions

Perform a two-way sync.

### 4.9.4 Expected Results

Client and server logs should report that the server is busy. It is also possible that the user may be prompted to try again at a later time.

### 4.9.5 Possible Errors

Client not understanding busy Status.

Server not sending busy Status, just default error.

## 4.10 Test Case #10: Two-way Sync with Server not responding

### 4.10.1 Purpose

This test shows client ability to deal with network or server error.

### 4.10.2 Setup

The server must be disabled, or set to not respond.

### 4.10.3 Actions

Perform a two-way sync.

### 4.10.4 Expected Results

The client log should report that the server is not responding.

The user may be prompted that server is not available.

### 4.10.5 Possible Errors

The client may wait indefinitely – this would indicate the timeouts are not dealt with properly.

The client may crash – this would be due to attempting to read bad data.

## 4.11 Test Case #11: Two-way Sync with incomplete communication

### 4.11.1 Purpose

This test shows client and server ability to deal with incomplete communication during a session.

### 4.11.2 Setup

This test requires a previously successful two-way sync.

### 4.11.3 Actions

Create a new object on both the client and the server, filling in as many fields as possible.

Perform a two-way sync.

Break communication during sync. Depending on the device, it could be as simple as unplugging the client from the network to as complex as putting the device into a Faraday cage.

Wait for sync to finish.

Perform two-way sync.

### 4.11.4 Expected Results

Both client and server logs should report that the session was interrupted before completion.

The second sync should be successful. (Both objects synced properly.)

### 4.11.5 Possible Errors

Both objects not synced after second attempt – one of the devices improperly marked the object as synchronized.

Object data mangled – one of the devices attempted to interpret bad data.



Client or server may crash – one of the devices attempted to interpret bad data.  
Duplicate objects may appear on the server or client – this is allowable behavior.

## **4.12 Test Case #12: Two-way Slow Sync with data**

### **4.12.1 Purpose**

This test shows implementation of slow sync.

### **4.12.2 Setup**

This test requires a previously successful two-way sync.

### **4.12.3 Actions**

Configure the server and/or the client to ask for Slow-Sync.  
Perform a two-way sync.  
Verify that slow sync took place.

### **4.12.4 Expected Results**

Slow-Sync should be indicated in the log of the client and server.  
User may be prompted that the session will be a slow sync.  
Duplicates may occur, but be prevented if possible.

### **4.12.5 Possible Errors**

Slow-Sync not performed – either the client or the server rejected the Slow-Sync request.  
Slow-Sync alert not accepted – either the client or the server rejected the Slow-Sync request.

## **4.13 Test Case #13: Two-way Slow Sync to restore client data**

### **4.13.1 Purpose**

This test shows implementation of slow sync to recover data onto a client.

### **4.13.2 Setup**

This test requires a previously successful two-way sync.

### **4.13.3 Actions**

Clear the data from the client.  
Configure the server and/or the client to ask for Slow-Sync.  
Perform a two-way sync.  
Verify that slow sync took place.

### **4.13.4 Expected Results**

Slow-Sync should be indicated in the log of the client and server.  
User may be prompted that the session will be a slow sync.  
All the client data should be restored.

### **4.13.5 Possible Errors**

Slow-Sync not performed – either the client or the server rejected the Slow-Sync request.  
Slow-Sync alert not accepted – either the client or the server rejected the Slow-Sync request.  
User data may not be restored to the device.





## **4.14 Test Case #14: MD5 login authentication**

### **4.14.1 Purpose**

This test shows the implementation of the MD5 authentication to make sure the client and server are compatible.

### **4.14.2 Setup**

The database conditions do not matter in this test case as only the authentication is tested.

### **4.14.3 Actions**

Set-up the server so that it will request an MD5 authentication from the client.

Perform a two-way sync.

### **4.14.4 Expected Results**

The client should login successfully and synchronise with the server as normal.

### **4.14.5 Possible Errors**

An authentication error message could occur on the client indicating that either the client or server are not using the correct Nonce value or the coding is not correct.



## 5 References

- [1] SyncML Conformance Testing Process
- [2] SyncML Implementation Conformance Statement Proforma