



**Enabler Test Report**  
**Presence SIMPLE v1.0**

OMA TestFest (February/March 2007)  
Version 09-Mar-2007

---

Open Mobile Alliance  
OMA-Enabler\_Test\_Report-PRS-V1\_0-20070309

This document is a work in process and is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification. This document is subject to revision or removal without notice. No part of this document may be used to claim conformance or interoperability with the Open Mobile Alliance specifications.

Use of this document is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Use Agreement located at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html>.

Unless this document is clearly designated as an approved specification, this document is a work in process, is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification, and is subject to revision or removal without notice.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. Information contained in this document may be used, at your sole risk, for any purposes. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance. The Open Mobile Alliance authorizes you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services. The Open Mobile Alliance assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document.

Each Open Mobile Alliance member has agreed to use reasonable endeavors to inform the Open Mobile Alliance in a timely manner of Essential IPR as it becomes aware that the Essential IPR is related to the prepared or published specification. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The declared Essential IPR is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the “OMA IPR Declarations” list at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html>. The Open Mobile Alliance has not conducted an independent IPR review of this document and the information contained herein, and makes no representations or warranties regarding third party IPR, including without limitation patents, copyrights or trade secret rights. This document may contain inventions for which you must obtain licenses from third parties before making, using or selling the inventions. Defined terms above are set forth in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

**NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE “OMA IPR DECLARATIONS” LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.**

**THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.**

© 2007 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Used with the permission of the Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. under the terms set forth above.

# Contents

<b>1. SCOPE .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.1 NORMATIVE REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2 INFORMATIVE REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3.1 CONVENTIONS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3.2 DEFINITIONS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3.3 ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4. SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5. TEST DETAILS.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5.1 DOCUMENTATION.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5.2 TEST CASE STATISTICS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
5.2.1 Test Case Summary.....	9
5.2.2 Test Case List.....	10
5.2.3 Problem Reports.....	22
<b>6. CONFIRMATION .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>APPENDIX A. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE) .....</b>	<b>24</b>

# 1. Scope

This report describes the results from the testing carried out at OMA TestFest-18 (February/March 2007) concerning the Presence SIMPLE (PRS) Version 1.0 Enabler.

## 2. References

### 2.1 Normative References

- [IOPPROC] OMA Interoperability Policy and Process, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [RFC2119] “Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels”, S. Bradner, March 1997, URL:<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
- [ERELD] Enabler Release Document for Presence SIMPLE, OMA-ERELD-PresenceSIMPLE-V1\_0-20060725-A, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [PRS\_SPEC] Enabler Release Package for Presence SIMPLE, OMA-ERP-PresenceSIMPLE-V1\_0-20060725-A, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [ETG] Enabler Test Guidelines
- [ETS] Enabler Test Specification for Presence SIMPLE (Interoperability), OMA-ETS-Presence\_SIMPLE\_INT-V1\_0-20060606-C, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>  
Enabler Test Specification for Presence XDM (Interoperability), OMA-ETS-Presence\_XDM\_INT-V1\_0-20051220-A, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>  
Enabler Test Specification for RLS XDM (Interoperability), OMA-ETS-RLS\_XDM\_INT-V1\_0-20051220-A, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [PRS\_EICS] Enabler Implementation Conformance Statement for Presence SIMPLE Client, OMA-EICS-Presence\_SIMPLE-Client-V1\_0-20060117-A, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>  
Enabler Implementation Conformance Statement for Presence SIMPLE Server, OMA-EICS-Presence\_SIMPLE-Server-V1\_0-20060117-A, <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>

### 2.2 Informative References

- [OMADICT] Dictionary for OMA Specification, OMA-Dictionary <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>
- [OMAADPRES] Stage 2 – Presence using SIMPLE, OMA-AD-Presence\_SIMPLE-V1\_0 <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/>

## 3. Terminology and Conventions

### 3.1 Conventions

This is an informative document, i.e. the document does not intend to contain normative statements.

### 3.2 Definitions

TestFest	Multi-lateral interoperability testing event
Trusted Zone	An OMA staff function to provide a neutral confidential information and results collection service to OMA Members. The Trusted Zone is responsible for all reports resulting from an OMA Test Event and to ensure that all general reports cannot attributed to any one individual participating company
User -	A person using UE.
User[N] -	A subscriber assigned to UE, where N is an integer number (i.e. User1, User2)
UE[N] -	A client terminal used for testing where N is an integer number (i.e. UE1, UE2 etc.)

### 3.3 Abbreviations

EICS	Enabler Implementation Conformance Statement
ERELED	Enabler Release Definition
ERP	Enabler Release Package
ETG	Enabler Test Guidelines
ETS	Enabler Test Specification
INC	Inconclusive
N/A	Not Applicable
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
OT	Out of Time
PR	Problem Report
PRS	Presence-SIMPLE
PS	Presence Server
RD	Requirements Document
SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
TC	Test Case
URI	Universal Resource Identifier
XCAP	XML Configuration Access Protocol
XDMC	XML Document management Client
XDMS	XML Document Management Server
XML	Extensible Mark-up Language

## 4. Summary

This report gives details of the testing carried out during the OMA TestFest-18 (February/March 2007) for Presence SIMPLE v1.0.

The report is compiled on behalf of OMA by the OMA Trusted Zone.

The work and reporting has followed the OMA IOP processes and policies [IOPPROC].

## 5. Test Details

### 5.1 Documentation

This chapter lists the details of the enabler and any documentation, tools or test suites used to prove the enabler.

<b>Date:</b>	26 <sup>th</sup> February to 2 <sup>nd</sup> March 2007
<b>Location:</b>	Helsinki, Finland
<b>Enabler:</b>	Presence v1.0
<b>Process:</b>	OMA Interoperability Policy and Process [OMAIOPPROC]
<b>Type of Testing</b>	Interoperability Testing
<b>Products tested:</b>	Client-to-server, Client-to-Server-to-Client
<b>Test Guidelines:</b>	Presence Enabler Test Guidelines [ETG]
<b>Test Specification:</b>	Presence Enabler Test Specification [ETS] OMA-ETS-Presence_SIMPLE_INT-V1_0-20060606-C OMA-ETS-Presence_XDM_INT-V1_0-20051220-A OMA-ETS-RLS_XDM_INT-V1_0-20051220-A
<b>Test Tool:</b>	None
<b>Test Code:</b>	None
<b>Type of Test event:</b>	Test Fest
<b>Participants:</b>	Celtius Ltd(x2), Comneon GmbH, Ericsson AB, Nokia <i>plus 3 other companies</i>
<b>Number of Client Implementations:</b>	5
<b>Participating Technology Providers for clients:</b>	Celtius Ltd, Comneon GmbH, Ericsson AB <i>plus 2 other clients</i>
<b>Implementation IDs for each client:</b>	Celtius Presence Client, Comneon IMS, Ericsson U350
<b>Number of Server Implementations:</b>	3
<b>Participating Technology Providers for servers:</b>	Celtius Ltd, Nokia <i>plus 1 other server</i>
<b>Implementation IDs for each server:</b>	Celtius Presence Server, Nokia Presence Server
<b>Number of test sessions completed:</b>	24 of 24



## 5.2 Test Case Statistics

### 5.2.1 Test Case Summary

This chapter gives an overview of the result for all test cases included in [ETS].

The following status is used in the tables below:

- Total number of TCs: Used in the summary to indicate how many test cases there are in total.
- Number of passed: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that successfully has been passed.
- Number of failed: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that has failed.
- Number of N/A: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that has not be run due to that the implementation(s) do not support the functionality required to run this test case.
- Number of OT: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that has not be run due to no time to run the test case.
- Number of INC: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that has not been run due to that the functionality could not be tested due to an error in the implementation in another functionality that is required to run this test case.

Test Section:	Number of test sessions:	Total number of TCs:	Number of Passed:	Number of Failed:	Number of N/A:	Number of OT:	Number of INC:	Total:
Client to Server TCs	24	4	24	0	0	72	0	96
Client to Server to Client TCs	24	43	446	11	241	334	0	1032
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1128</b>

Table 1. Test Summary Table

### 5.2.2 Test Case List

This chapter lists the statistics for all all interoperability test cases included in [ETS].

The following status is used in the tables below:

- **Runs (R):** Used to indicate the total number of times the test case have been run ( $R = P + F + I$ ).
- **Pass (P):** Used to indicate how many times the test case have been run and successfully passed.
- **Fail (F):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have been run and failed (used when the failure reason is known).
- **Inconclusive (I):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have been run and did not pass due to other nature than conclusive implementation or specification failure (e.g.: the failure reason cannot be clearly determined).
- **Not Applicable (N/A):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have not be run due to lack of support for the required functionality to run this test case by one or more involved implementations.
- **Out of Time (O):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have not been run due to lack of time.
- **Problem Report (PR):** Used to indicate how many PRs have been issued for the test case.
- **Note:** Used to indicate the cause of the Inconclusive or Failed results.

**Tests for Presence-SIMPLE Enabler TestFest Taken From**  
**OMA-ETS-Presence\_SIMPLE\_INT-V1\_0-20060606-C.doc**  
**OMA-ETS-Presence\_XDM\_INT-V1\_0-20051220-A**  
**OMA-ETS-RLS\_XDM\_INT-V1\_0-20051220-A**

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0100</b>	Verify that presence information published by an UE will be received by another UE, which subscribes for that information. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when UE1 publishes presence information, UE2, as Watcher, will receive the presence information.	22	22	0	2	0	0		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0101</b>	Verify that presence information modified by an UE will be displayed accordingly in another UE, which subscribes for that information. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when User1 modifies presence information, User2, as Watcher, will receive the updated presence information.	22	22	0	2	0	0		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0102</b>	Verify that presence publications terminated by an UE will be displayed in another UE. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when User1 terminates its presence publication, User2, as Watcher, will be displayed.	22	22	0	2	0	0		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0103</b>	An UE, acting as a Watcher terminates its subscriptions, and another UE, the presence source, updates the presence information. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a Watcher, which has terminated its subscription, does not display any presence updates.	22	22	0	2	0	0		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0104</b>	Verify that Presence Server keeps sending presence information to a UE, acting as a watcher, after subscription refresh. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a UE retrieves and displays the presence information after the subscription refresh.	21	20	1	3	0	0		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0105</b>	Verify that presence information modified by an UE via partial publication will be displayed accordingly in another UE, which subscribes for that information. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when User1 modifies presence information via partial publication, User2, as Watcher, will receive the updated presence information.	2	2	0	2	0	20		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0106</b>	Verify that a Presence Server can store and manage presence information coming from multiple UEs, acting as Presence Sources and related to several Users, and correctly notify one UE, acting as a Watcher the presence information. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a UE, acting as a Watcher, is able to display the presence information when subscribing to presence information of several other users.	20	20	0	2	0	2		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0107</b>	Verify that presence information modified by an UE will be displayed accordingly in another UE, which subscribes via partial subscription to that information. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when User1 modifies presence information, User2, as Watcher, will receive the updated presence information via partial notification.	3	3	0	3	0	18		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0108</b>	Verify that a User is able to define policies so that different presence information can be sent to different Users, acting as Watchers. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a User, acting as a Presentity can allow one User to see a different presence content than another User, acting as Watchers.	13	13	0	2	0	9		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0109</b>	Verify that a User is able to define policies so that the same presence information elements but with different can be sent to different Users, acting as Watchers. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a User, acting as a Presentity can allow one User to see the same presence information elements but with different values than another User, acting as Watchers.	5	5	0	3	0	16		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0110</b>	Verify that a Presence Server supports the combination of different presence information elements of a particular User coming from different UEs, acting as presence sources. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a Presence Server is able to apply presence composition rules, and notify a UE, acting as a Watcher, the correct presence information.	22	22	0	2	0	0		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0111</b>	Verify that a UE successfully publishes and retrieves presence information by polling. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that one user using Polling Subscription, will retrieve presence information from another user, which has an active publication.	22	22	0	2	0	0		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0112</b>	Verify that a User is able to define policies so that defined presence information can be sent to an anonymous User, acting as a Watcher. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a User, acting as a Presentity can define the contents a User authenticated as anonymous and acting as a Watcher will see.	14	14	0	2	0	8		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0120</b>	Verify that a User is able to define policies so that defined presence information can be sent to unspecified Users (not known in the Presence Rules document), acting as Watchers. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a User, acting as a Presentity can define the contents an unspecified User, acting, as a Watcher will see.	23	22	1	0	0	1		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0121</b>	Verify that a Presence Server can handle the Presence Rules document for groups of watchers stored in the Shared XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a UE, acting as a XDMC, can modify his permissions for groups of watcher stored in the Shared XDMS, and the PS handles these permissions properly.	12	12	0	2	0	10		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0122</b>	Verify that a Presence Server can handle changes for the Presence Rules document for Watchers (individual Watchers or groups) stored in the Shared XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a UE, acting as a XDMC, can modify his permissions for individual watchers and/or groups of watcher stored in the Shared XDMS, and the PS handles these permissions properly.	14	6	8	6	0	4		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0123</b>	Verify that User1 successfully publishes presence information. User2 will not be able to Subscribe to the presence information when blocked by User1. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when one user publishes presence information, another user, which is blocked, is not allowed to subscribe for presence information.	17	17	0	7	0	0		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0124</b>	User2 will be able to Subscribe and receive notifications, but presence information will not be revealed, since the user is politely blocked. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when one user publishes presence information, another user, acting as Watcher, will be able to subscribe and receive notification, but presence information will not be revealed, since the user is politely blocked.	17	17	0	7	0	0		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0130</b>	Verify that a UE, acting as a Watcher, can subscribe using notification filtering and that the Presence Server applies filtering and notifies the correct information. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that User2, acting as a Watcher, only gets the information that has been asked for.	2	2	0	5	0	17		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0140</b>	Verify that a UE, acting as a Watcher, can subscribe using event notification filtering and that the Presence Server applies filtering and notifies the correct information whenever the filter is triggered. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verity that User2, acting as a Watcher, only gets the information that has been asked for whenever the filter is triggered.	0	0	0	6	0	18		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0141</b>	Verify that a watcher is notified of a specified subset of the presence information of a presentity, if the watcher falls into a group that the presentity decides to reveal a subset of his/her presence information to. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that UE2 and UE3 display the information User1 authorizes them to seeVerify that UE2 displays only the information UE1 authorizes for the group of which User2 is a member.	11	11	0	5	0	8		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0142</b>	Verify that a presentity can authorize a group of watchers to subscribe to his/her presence information when the request from that watcher arrives (Reactive Authorization). - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that UE1(the Presentity) can Reactively Authorize a group of watchers of which UE2 is a member..	9	9	0	7	0	8		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0143</b>	Verify that presence information with non-OMA elements published by an UE will be handled correctly by another UE, which subscribes for that information. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when UE1 publishes presence information with non-OMA elements, UE2, as Watcher, will interpret correctly the OMA presence information elements and discard the non-OMA ones.	11	11	0	5	0	8		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0150</b>	Verify that an RLS can handle subscriptions to resource lists and distribute notifications including presence information to the Watcher. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a UE, acting as a Watcher, is able to subscribe to a resource list with URI's to Presence Sources and be able to display presence information.	4	4	0	2	0	18		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0151</b>	Verify that an RLS can handle subscriptions to resource lists and distribute notifications including presence information to the Watcher. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a UE, acting as a Watcher, is able to display presence information for a specific user, which is added to a resource list the Watcher owns and has an active subscription on.	4	4	0	3	0	17		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0152</b>	Verify that an RLS can handle subscriptions to resource lists pointing to groups in the Shared XDMS, and distribute notifications including presence information to the Watcher. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a UE, acting as a Watcher, is able to subscribe to resource lists pointing to groups in the Shared XDMS and be able to display presence information.	4	4	0	6	0	14		



Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0153</b>	Verify that an RLS can handle subscriptions to resource lists pointing to groups in the Shared XDMS and distribute notifications including presence information to the Watcher. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that a UE, acting as a Watcher, is able to display presence information for a specific user, which is added to a shared group pointed by a resource list the Watcher owns and has an active subscription on.	3	2	1	7	0	14		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0160</b>	Verify that a UE, acting as a Watcher, can subscribe using event notification filtering to a resource list and that the Resource List Server applies filtering and notifies the correct information whenever the filter is triggered. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that an RLS can handle event and content subscriptions to resource lists and distribute notifications to the Watcher including appropriate presence information whenever the filter is triggered.	2	2	0	7	0	15		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0200</b>	Verify that a UE successfully Subscribes to Watcher Information - TEST CASE GOAL: User1 subscribes to watcher information and will be notified when User2 subscribes to User1's presence information	18	18	0	2	0	4		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0210</b>	Verify that UE successfully publishes and receives presence information after reactive authorization. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that User1 successfully can subscribe for watcher information and is notified when User2 subscribes for User1's presence information. User1 then updates his Authorization Rules Document to allow User2 to see his presence. User2 will display User1's presence information.	17	17	0	3	0	4		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-1.0-int-0211</b>	Verify that a Watcher Information Subscriber can receive notifications whenever one of the subscription to his/her Presence Information expires. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that User1 (Watcher Information Subscriber) can get notifications whenever User2's subscription to User1's Presence Information expires.	15	15	0	5	0	4		
<b>Presence-XDM-1.0-int-0100</b>	Verify that UE can be successfully authenticated by the Aggregation Proxy when retrieving documents over the XCAP interface.- TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when the UE initiates the communication with an XDMS, the Aggregation Proxy authenticates it.	9	9	0	15	0	0		
<b>Presence-XDM-1.0-int-0150</b>	Verify that the Aggregation Proxy rejects too many failed authentication attempts by the UE.- TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that the client will not be able to access XML documents during the initial communication attempt with an XDMS.	7	7	0	17	0	0		
<b>Presence-XDM-1.0-int-0200</b>	Verify that the user can create and retrieve an XML document from the Presence XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify creation and retrieval of Presence Authorization Rules document. This - TEST Case if for the UEs that are able to create the Presence Authorization R	8	8	0	16	0	0		
<b>Presence-XDM-1.0-int-0201</b>	Verify that the user can retrieve an XML document from the Presence XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify retrieval of Presence Authorization Rules document.	6	6	0	18	0	0		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>Presence-XDM-1.0-int-0202</b>	Verify that the UE can create and retrieve XML elements from the Presence XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Add an additional rule to already existing Presence Authorization Rules document stored in the Presence XDMS. Verify that the Presence Authorization Rules docu	6	6	0	18	0	0		
<b>Presence-XDM-1.0-int-0203</b>	Verify that the UE can modify and retrieve XML elements and documents from the Presence XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Modify and retrieve an already existing rule in Presence Authorization Rules document stored in the Presence XDMS. Verify that the rule has been	6	6	0	18	0	0		
<b>Presence-XDM-1.0-int-0204</b>	Verify that the UE can delete XML elements from the Presence XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Delete a rule from the Presence Authorization Rules document stored in the Presence XDMS and verify that the rule is removed from the Presence XDMS.	6	6	0	18	0	0		
<b>Presence-XDM-1.0-int-0205</b>	Verify that the UE can delete an XML document from the Presence XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Delete the Presence Authorization Rules document stored in the Presence XDMS and verify that the document no longer exists in the Presence XDMS.	5	5	0	18	0	1		
<b>RLS-XDM-1.0-int-0100</b>	Verify that UE can be successfully authenticated by the Aggregation Proxy when retrieving documents over the XCAP interface. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that when the UE initiates the communication with an XDMS, the Aggregation Proxy authenticates it.	4	4	0	20	0	0		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
RLS-XDM-1.0-int-0150	Verify that the Aggregation Proxy rejects too many failed authentication attempts by the UE. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify that the client will not be able to access XML documents during the initial communication attempt with an XDMS.	4	4	0	20	0	0		
RLS-XDM-1.0-int-0200	Verify that the user can create and retrieve an XML document from the RLS XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify creation and retrieval of Presence Lists document. This - TEST Case if for the UEs that are able to create the Presence Lists document.	5	5	0	19	0	0		
RLS-XDM-1.0-int-0201	Verify that the user can retrieve an XML document from the RLS XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Verify retrieval of Presence Lists document.	5	5	0	19	0	0		
RLS-XDM-1.0-int-0202	Verify that the UE can create and retrieve XML elements from the RLS XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Add an additional rule to already existing Presence Lists document stored in the RLS XDMS. Verify that the Presence Lists document has been updated correctly in the	5	5	0	19	0	0		
RLS-XDM-1.0-int-0203	Verify that the UE can modify and retrieve XML elements and documents from the RLS XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Modify and retrieve an already existing service element in Presence Lists document stored in the RLS XDMS. Verify that the services has been updated c	3	3	0	19	0	2		
RLS-XDM-1.0-int-0204	Verify that the UE can delete XML elements from the RLS XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Delete a service from the Presence Lists document stored in the RLS XDMS and verify that the service is removed from the RLS XDMS.	5	5	0	19	0	0		

Test Case id:	Description:	Test Counts						PR:	Note:
		R	P	F	O	I	N/A		
<b>RLS-XDM-1.0-int-0205</b>	Verify that the UE can delete an XML document from the RLS XDMS. - TEST CASE GOAL: Delete the Presence Lists document stored in the RLS XDMS and verify that the document no longer exists in the RLS XDMS.	4	4	0	19	0	1		

**Table 2. Test Case Counts**

### 5.2.3 Problem Reports

During the activities for TestFest-18, there were no problem reports were generated relating to the test materials and test process.

Full details of the Problem Reports can be found at:

<http://www.openmobilealliance.org/OMA-Problem-Reporting-System.html>

## 6. Confirmation

This signature states that the included information is true and valid.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "H. Greenwell". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

---

OMA Trusted Zone

## Appendix A. Change History (Informative)

Type of Change	Date	Section	Description
Initial Draft Release	9 <sup>th</sup> March 2007	All	First Version from TestFest-18