

# Enabler Test Report Digital Rights Management v2.1

OMA TestFest (September 2007) Version 21st September 2007

Open Mobile Alliance OMA-Enabler\_Test\_Report-DRM-V2\_1-20070921

This document is a work in process and is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification. This document is subject to revision or removal without notice. No part of this document may be used to claim conformance or interoperability with the Open Mobile Alliance specifications.

Use of this document is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Use Agreement located at <a href="http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html">http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html</a>.

Unless this document is clearly designated as an approved specification, this document is a work in process, is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance<sup>TM</sup> specification, and is subject to revision or removal without notice.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. Information contained in this document may be used, at your sole risk, for any purposes. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance. The Open Mobile Alliance authorizes you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services. The Open Mobile Alliance assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document.

Each Open Mobile Alliance member has agreed to use reasonable endeavors to inform the Open Mobile Alliance in a timely manner of Essential IPR as it becomes aware that the Essential IPR is related to the prepared or published specification. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The declared Essential IPR is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the "OMA IPR Declarations" list at <a href="http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html">http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html</a>. The Open Mobile Alliance has not conducted an independent IPR review of this document and the information contained herein, and makes no representations or warranties regarding third party IPR, including without limitation patents, copyrights or trade secret rights. This document may contain inventions for which you must obtain licenses from third parties before making, using or selling the inventions. Defined terms above are set forth in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE "OMA IPR DECLARATIONS" LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.

THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

© 2007 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All Rights Reserved. Used with the permission of the Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. under the terms set forth above.

# Contents

1. S	SCOPE	
2. R	REFERENCES	
2.1	NORMATIVE REFERENCES	
2.2		
3. T	TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS	
3.1	CONVENTIONS	
3.2	DEFINITIONS	
3.3	ABBREVIATIONS	
4. S	SUMMARY	8
5. T	TEST DETAILS	9
5.1	DOCUMENTATION	9
5.2		10
5.	2.1 Test Case Summary	
5.	5.2.2 Test Case List	11
5.3		20
6. C	CONFIRMATION	22
APPE	CNDIX A. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE)	23

# 1. Scope

This report describes the results from the testing carried out at OMA TestFest-20 (September 2007) concerning the Digital Rights Management Version 2.1 Enabler.

#### 2. References

### 2.1 Normative References

[IOPPROC] OMA Interoperability Policy and Process, <a href="http://www.openmobilealliance.org/">http://www.openmobilealliance.org/</a>

[RFC2119] "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", S. Bradner, March 1997,

URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

[ERELD] Enabler Release Definition for Digital Rights Management, OMA-ERELD-DRM-V2\_1-

20070724-C, http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[DRM\_SPEC] Enabler Release Package for Digital Rights Management, OMA-ERP-DRM-V2\_1-20070724-C,

http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[EVP] Enabler Validation Plan for Digital Rights Management,

OMA-EVP-DRM V2 1-20070712-D, http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[ETS] Enabler Test Specification for Digital Rights Management (Interoperability),

OMA-ETS-DRM INT-V2 1-20070712-D, http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[DRM\_EICS] Enabler Implementation Conformance Statements, OMA-EICS-Client-DRM-V2 1-20070724-

A, and OMA-EICS-Server-DRM-V2\_1-20070724-A; URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

#### 2.2 Informative References

[OMADICT] Dictionary for OMA Specification, OMA-Dictionary

http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[OMAADDRM] <a href="http://www.openmobilealliance.org/">http://www.openmobilealliance.org/</a>

# 3. Terminology and Conventions

#### 3.1 Conventions

This is an informative document, i.e. the document does not intend to contain normative statements.

#### 3.2 Definitions

**Asset** Content governed by rights. See DRM content.

**Combined delivery** Delivery of the rights object and content together in a single message. See DRM

message

**Composite object** A DCF that contains one or more DCFs by means of inclusion e.g. DRM messages, zip

files.

**Content** A DCF

**DRM Agent** A mobile device consuming DRM content.

**DRM agent** A user agent in the device that enforces the rights and controls the consumption of

DRM content on the device.

**DRM content**Content that is consumed according to a set of rights. DRM content may be in encrypted

DRM Content Format or in plaintext delivered inside a DRM message

**DRM message** A message containing a DCF and an optional rights object. DCFs received inside a

DRM message must not leave the device. The optional rights object defines additional

consumption rules for the DCF.

**Forward-lock** A special case of combined delivery method where the DRM message includes only the

DCF and not a rights object at all. A set of default rights applies for the DCF.

**DCF** A digital resource e.g. a ringing tone, a screen saver, a Java game or a composite object.

**Media type** A MIME media type.

**Rights** Permissions and constraints defining under which circumstances access is granted to

DRM content.

**Rights issuer** An entity who issues rights objects.

**Rights object** An instance of rights

**Separate delivery** Delivery of the rights object and content via separate transports.

**Superdistribution** A mechanism that (1) allows the end user to redistribute the encrypted DRM content to

other end users through potentially insecure channels and (2) enables the receipients to

obtain initial rights for the superdistributed DRM content.

**TestFest** Multi-lateral interoperability testing event

**Trusted Zone** An OMA staff function to provide a neutral confidential information and results

collection service to OMA Members. The Trusted Zone is responsible for all reports resulting from an OMA Test Event and to ensure that all general reports cannot

attributed to any one individual participating company

#### 3.3 Abbreviations

CEK Content Encryption Key
DCF DRM Content Format

DRM Digital Rights Management

EICS Enabler Implementation Conformance Statement

ERELD Enabler Release Definition
ERP Enabler Release Package

ETG Enabler Test Guidelines
ETS Enabler Test Specification
EVP Enabler Validation Plan
HTTP Hypertext Transfer Protocol

INC Inconclusive

MIME Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions

N/A Not Applicable

OMA Open Mobile Alliance

OT Out of Time
PR Problem Report

RD Requirements Document
REL Rights Expression Language

RI Rights Issuer
RO Rights Object

ROAP Rights Object Acquisition Protocol SCR Static Conformance Requirement

TC Test Case

URI Universal Resource Identifier
WAP Wireless Application Protocol
WSP Wireless Session Protocol

# 4. Summary

This report gives details of the testing carried out during the OMA TestFest-20 (September 2007) for Digital Rights Management (DRM) v2.1.

The report is compiled on behalf of OMA by the OMA Trusted Zone.

The work and reporting has followed the OMA IOP processes and policies [IOPPROC].

## 5. Test Details

## 5.1 Documentation

This chapter lists the details of the enabler and any documentation, tools or test suites used to prove the enabler.

Date:	7 <sup>th</sup> to 14 <sup>th</sup> September 2007
Location:	Düsseldorf, Germany
Enabler:	Digital Rights Management v2.1
Process:	OMA Interoperability Policy and Process [OMAIOPPROC]
Type of Testing	Interoperability Testing
Products tested:	Client-to-server
Test Guidlines:	DRM Enabler Validation Plan - OMA-ETP-DRM-V-2_1-20070712-D [EVP]
<b>Test Specification:</b>	DRM Enabler Test Specification - OMA-ETS-DRM_INT-V2_1-20070712-D [ETS]
Test Tool:	None
Test Code:	None
Type of Test event:	TestFest
Participants:	CoreMedia AG, Mobilius, Inc., Nokia, Vodafone and 1 other participant
Number of Client Implementations:	3
Participating Technology Providers for clients:	Mobilius, Inc., Nokia and 1 other participant
Implementation IDs for each client:	MUSICAL DRM Client, OMA DRM S60 2.1 and 1 other implementation
Number of Server Implementations:	3
Participating Technology Providers for servers:	CoreMedia AG, Mobilius, Inc., Vodafone
Implementation IDs for each server:	CoreMedia ROAP Server, MUSICAL DRM Server, DRM Server
DRM v2.0 Compatibility Testing Participants	CoreMedia AG, Hanmoro Co.,Ltd, MarkAny, Nokia and 2 other participants
Number of test sessions completed:	44

**Table 1. Test Information** 

#### 5.2 Test Case Statistics

### 5.2.1 Test Case Summary

This chapter gives an overview of the result for all test cases included in [ETS].

The following status is used in the tables below:

- Total number of TCs: Used in the summary to indicate how many test cases there are in total.
- Number of passed: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that successfully has been passed.
- Number of failed: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that has failed.
- Number of N/A: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that has not be run due to that the implementation(s) do not support the functionality required to run this test case.
- Number of OT: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that has not be run due to no time to run the test case.
- Number of INC: Used in the summary to indicate how many of the total testcases that has not been run due to that the functionality could not be tested due to an error in the implementation in another functionality that is required to run this test case.

Test Section:	Number of test session s:	Total number of TCs:	Number of Passed:	Number of Failed:	Number of N/A:	Number of OT:	Number of INC:	Total:
Client to Server TCs	8	83	326	30	303	5	0	664
DRM v2.0 Client to Server Compaitbility TCs	16	3	34	0	4	10	0	48
DRM v2.0 Client to Server to Client Comaptibility TCs	20	1	12	0	6	2	0	20
Total	44	87	372	30	313	17	0	732

**Table 2. Test Summary Table** 

#### 5.2.2 Test Case List

This chapter lists the statistics for all all interoperability test cases included in [ETS].

The following status is used in the tables below:

- Runs (R): Used to indicate the total number of times the test case have been run (R = P + F + I).
- Pass (P): Used to indicate how many times the test case have been run and successfully passed.
- Fail (F): Used to indicate how many times the test cases have been run and failed (used when the failure reason is known).
- Inconclusive (I): Used to indicate how many times the test cases have been run and did not pass due to other nature than conclusive implementation or specification failure (e.g.: the failure reason cannot be clearly determined).
- **Not Applicable (N/A):** Used to indicate how many times the test cases have not be run due to lack of support for the required functionality to run this test case by one or more involved implementations.
- Out of Time (O): Used to indicate how many times the test cases have not been run due to lack of time.
- Problem Report (PR): Used to indicate how many PRs have been issued for the test case.
- **Note:** Used to indicate the cause of the Inconclusive or Failed results.

# Tests for Digital Rights Management TestFest Taken From ETS OMA-ETS-DRM\_INT-V2\_1-20070724-D.doc

Test Case id:	Description:		Т	est C	ount	s		PR:	Note:
Test Case Iu.	Description.	R	Р	F	0	I	N/A	FK.	Note.
DRM-2.1-int-001	To test "Forward Lock" DRM 1.0 functionality.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-002	To test DRM 1.0 "Combined Delivery" functionality.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-003	To test DRM 1.0 "Separate Delivery" functionality in case the DCF file indicates that the server intends to push the rights object separately.	5	5	0	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-004 (A)	To test that a DRM 2.1 Agent can register, acquire rights and consume content from a DRM 2.0 Rights Issuer.	3	3	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-004 (B)	To test that a DRM 2.0 Agent can register, acquire rights and consume content from a DRM 2.1 Rights Issuer.	9	9	0	4	0	0		

Test Ossa ide	Descriptions		Т	est C	ount	s		<b>DD</b> :	Neter
Test Case id:	Description:	R	Р	F	0	I	N/A	PR:	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-005 (A)	To test that a DRM 2.1 Agent can join a domain, acquire rights and consume a DCF from a DRM 2.0 Rights Issuer.	3	3	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-005 (B)	To test that a DRM 2.0 Agent can join a domain, acquire domain rights and consume a DCF from a DRM 2.1 Rights Issuer. Also to test that a DRM 2.1	8	8	0	3	0	2		
DRM-2.1-int-006 (A)	To test that a DRM 2.0 Domain Rights Object can be superdistributed and used between a DRM 2.0 and DRM 2.1 DRM Agent.	6	6	0	1	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-006 (B)	To test that a DRM 2.1 Domain Rights Object can be superdistributed between a DRM 2.0 and DRM 2.1 Agent.	6	6	0	1	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-007 (A)	To test that a DRM 2.1 Agent can leave a domain from a DRM 2.0 Rights Issuer.	3	3	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-007 (B)	To test that a DRM 2.0 Agent can leave a domain from a DRM 2.1 Rights Issuer.	8	8	0	3	0	2		
DRM-2.1-int-008	Test the 4-pass ROAP Registration protocol. The DRM Agent will register with the RI and then complete 2-pass RO Acquisition to prove that the registration was processed successfully.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-009	Test the 4-pass Registration protocol when there is already an RI Context stored on the device, and a device context stored on the RI. RO Acquisition is used to prove that the reregistration is successfull.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-010	RO Acquisition without existing RI Context	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-011	1-pass RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.	5	5	0	0	0	3		

			Т	est C	Count	ts			
Test Case id:	Description:	R	Р	F	0	ı	N/A	PR:	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-012	1-pass RO Acquisition without existing RI Context.	5	5	0	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-013	Test the 4-pass confirmed ROAP RO acquisition protocol. The DRM Agent will receive an RO from the RI via the 2-pass protocol and then confirm receipt of the RO using an additional 2 steps.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-014	Test the 4-pass confirmed ROAP RO acquisition protocol. The DRM Agent will receive an RO from the RI via the 2-pass protocol and then confirm receipt of the RO using an additional 2 steps.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-015	To test RO Acquistion in the case that the ROAP Trigger refers to multiple Rights Objects.	2	2	0	0	0	6		
DRM-2.1-int-016	To test the 2-pass Device Identification protocol	3	3	0	0	0	5		
DRM-2.1-int-017	Server-initiated Device Time Synchronization	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-018	To test that a DRM Agent can correctly upload a stateless RO to an RI by a device initiated 2-pass RO Upload protocol and that the RI can correctly re-issue such RO to another device belonging to the same user.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-019	To test that a DRM Agent can correctly upload a stateful RO (including current State Information) to an RI by a device initiated 2-pass RO Upload protocol and that the RI can correctly re-issue such RO (including current State Information) to another device belonging to the same user. The test uses an RO with multiple permissions and multiple assets to test the correct assosciation of constraints and their state information during upload and re-issue	1	1	0	0	0	7		

			Т	est C	ount	ts			
Test Case id:	Description:	R	Р	F	0	I	N/A	PR:	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-020	To test that a DRM Agent can correctly upload multiple ROs (stateful and stateless) to an RI by a device initiated 2-pass RO Upload protocol and that the RI can correctly re-issue such ROs and corresponding State Information to another device belonging to the same user.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-021	To test that an RI can issue an roUpload Trigger and that the DRM Agent can initiate the 2-pass RO Upload protocol in accordace with the trigger. The DRM Agent should upload all valid ROs to the RI.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-022	To test a situation where an RO is included in the DCF.	5	5	0	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-023	To test behaviour in the presence of a group RO for multiple DCFs, using the Group ID mechanism.	5	4	1	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-024	To test behaviour in the presence of an individual RO for a content item which has a Group ID.	5	3	2	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-025	To test behaviour in the presence of several rights objects for one piece of content.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-026	To test behaviour in the presence of several rights objects for one piece of content.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-027	To test DRM Agent's capability to process Multipart DCFs from the RI.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-028	To test behaviour in the presence of multiple ROs for a multipart DCF.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-029	To test behaviour when different content items in a multipart DCF are associated with different groups	1	1	0	0	0	7		

T. ( )	B		Т	est C	ount	s			
Test Case id:	Description:	R	Р	F	0	1	N/A	PR:	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-030	To test the CID referencing mechanism when referencing multipart objects. The DCF user data CoverURI or LyricsURI are used as a reference point for the test.	3	3	0	0	0	5		
DRM-2.1-int-031	To test the Content Location referencing mechanism when referencing multiple objects in a multipart DCF. The DCF preview-header with a method of "instant" is used a reference point for this test.	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-032	To test "Superdistribution" functionality. The protected content is sent from one DRM Agent to another. The rights object is obtained by ROAP session to the rights issuing service.	7	7	0	1	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-033	To test the TransactionID mechanism in connection with Superdistribution.	4	4	0	1	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-034	To test <count> constraint for a DCF.</count>	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-035	To test <timed-count> constraint for a DCF.</timed-count>	5	5	0	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-036	To test <datetime> constraint for a DCF.</datetime>	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-037	To test <interval> constraint for a DCF.</interval>	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-038	To test <accumulated> constraint for a DCF.</accumulated>	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-039	To test <individual> constraint for a DCF.</individual>	5	5	0	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-040	To test <system> constraint for a DCF.</system>	4	4	0	0	0	4		
DRM-2.1-int-041	To test the effect of having multiple constraints.	5	5	0	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-042	To test the REL Permission Model in the case that the rights include a stateful top level constraint.	5	5	0	0	0	3		

			Т	est C	ount	ts			
Test Case id:	Description:	R	Р	F	0	ı	N/A	PR:	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-043	To test the REL Expression Linking method.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-044	To test the REL <tracked> element and the 2-pass Metering Report protocol.</tracked>	8	5	3	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-045	To test the REL <tracked> contentAccessGranted attribute.</tracked>	5	3	2	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-046	To test the REL <tracked> timed attribute.</tracked>	8	5	3	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-047	To test the REL <permission>s onExpiredURL's usage to initiate sending of a Metering Report.</permission>	5	1	4	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-048	To test that the RI can issue Parent Rights with <tracked> requirement; and that the DRM agent can accurately record metering information for the relevant metered content – as referenced by the child ROs.</tracked>	8	5	3	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-049	Initiate ROAP from DCF Preview Header with existing RI Context & domain name NOT in Domain Name Whitelist.	4	4	0	1	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-050	Initiate ROAP from DCF Preview Header with existing RI Context & domain name in the Domain Name Whitelist.	4	4	0	1	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-051	Initiate ROAP from DCF Silent Header with existing RI Context and domain name is in the Domain Name Whitelist.	7	6	1	1	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-052	To test inheritance model when stateful constraints are involved.	8	7	1	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-053	To test a case where the Parent Rights Object	8	7	1	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-054	To test inheritance model when a child RO is a group RO	5	3	2	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-055	Trigger-initiated domain join without existing RI Context	8	7	1	0	0	0		

			Т	est C	ount	s			
Test Case id:	Description:	R	Р	F	0	ı	N/A	PR:	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-056	To test that the RI correctly specifies the Domain noConsumeAfter attribute in a JoinDomainResponse; and that the DRM Agent correctly enforces that Domain ROs are not consumable after the noConsumeAfter date.	1	1	0	0	0	7		
DRM-2.1-int-057	Automatically-initiated domain upgrade with valid RI Context and existing Domain Context for this RI A Domain RO is delivered before the DRM Agent has upgraded the domain.	7	7	0	0	0	1		
DRM-2.1-int-058	Trigger-initiated domain join with valid RI Context and existing Domain Context for this RI RI-initiated domain generation upgrade	7	7	0	0	0	1		
DRM-2.1-int-059	Domain RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.	8	7	1	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-060	To test delivering the Domain RO inside a DCF.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-061	To test if different devices related with the same domain are able to share DCFs.	7	7	0	0	0	1		
DRM-2.1-int-062	Device leaves a domain after receiving a LeaveDomain trigger.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-063	To test that the content packaging server can insert User-Data such as title, author, etc into a DCF and that the DRM Agent can read that meta data.	4	4	0	0	0	4		
DRM-2.1-int-064	To test that a content packaging server can add a User-Data box within the Mutable DRM Information box of a DCF and that the DRM Agent can read that meta data, update it and display it in-place of the meta data in the DCF discrete media headers.	3	3	0	0	0	5		

Took Coop id:	Decorintion		Т	est C	ount	s		PR:	Notes
Test Case id:	Description:	R	Р	F	0	I	N/A	PK.	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-065	To test the WBXML encoding of the RO Acquisition Trigger and delivery via WAP Push	5	4	1	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-066	To test the WBXML encoding of the Leave Domain Trigger	3	1	2	0	0	5		
DRM-2.1-int-067	Device registration and domain establishment for Unconnected Device.	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-068	RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-069	Unconnected Device leaving domain.	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-070	RO Acquisition without existing RI Context	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-071	To test Datetime constraints with an unconnected device that does not have a time source (i.e. a situation where the constraint is not understood and cannot be enforced).	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-072	Tests the capability of the ROAP protocol to choose and communiate the correct device public key in the case that a DRM Agent has two device certificates. This may reflect a scenario where a device is a member of two PKI ecosystems.	8	8	0	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-073	Tests the capability of the ROAP protocol in the case that a Rights Issuer has two RI certificates. This may reflect a scenario where a Rights Issuer support two PKI ecosystems.	6	6	0	0	0	2		

			Т	est C	ount	ts			
Test Case id:	Description:	R	Р	F	0	1	N/A	PR:	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-074	Tests the capability of the ROAP protocol to allow registration in the case that the RI and Device have certificates from different trust models, but do trust the "other" trust model. Essentially Device has a certificate chain from PKI_A and additionally trusts PKI_B, RI has chain from PKI_B and additionally trusts PKI_A. The RI and Device should be able to trust each other even though they have certificate chains from different trust authorities.	5	5	0	0	0	3		
DRM-2.1-int-075	To test packaging and rendering of a one-track null-encrypted PDCF file (e.g 3GP audio).	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-076	To test packaging and rendering of a one-track encrypted PDCF (e.g audio file).	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-077	To test packaging and rendering of a multi-track encrypted PDCF (e.g. video and audio 3GP file).	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-078	To test PDCF superdistribution, using the Transaction Tracking mechanism.	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-079	To test rendering of a multi-track encrypted PDCF where rights are only available for one of the tracks.	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-080	To test the behaviour in the presence of a group RO for a PDCF, using the GroupID mechanism.	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-081	To test a situation where a Domain RO is included in a PDCF.	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-082	To test packaging, streaming and rendering of a one-track PDCF.	0	0	0	0	0	8		

Toot Coop id:	Description:	Test Counts					PR:	N	
Test Case id:		R	Р	F	0	I	N/A	PK:	Note:
DRM-2.1-int-083	To test SDP intitated Rights Object acquisition; and the subsequence packaging, streaming and rendering of a one-track PDCF (SelectiveEncryption enabled).	0	0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-084	To test a multi-track PDCF streamable packaging and rendering, SelectiveEncryption enabled. Some packets are encrypted and others are unencrypted.		0	0	0	0	8		
DRM-2.1-int-085	To test the usage of the multipart/related MIME format to deliver a DCF and a ROAP Trigger together in a single response.	3	2	1	0	0	5		
DRM-2.1-int-086	To test the usage of OMA Download OTA 1.0 co-delivery method to deliver a Download Descriptor and a ROAP Trigger in a single multipart. The download descriptor nextURL is used to deliver the content. Installation notification is confirmed		7	1	0	0	0		
DRM-2.1-int-087	To test the processing of a ROAP response PDU contained within in a multipart/related message-body. Additionally tests the usage of OMA Download OTA 1.0 co-delivery method to deliver a Download Descriptor and a ROAP Trigger in a single multipart.	8	8	0	0	0	0		

**Table 3. Test Case Counts** 

# 5.3 Problem Reports

During the activities for TestFest-21, the following problem reports were generated relating to the test materials and test process:

PR Number	Affecting	Description	Test Case reference / Specification reference
		None raised from this event	

**Table 4. Problem Reports** 

Full details of the Problem Reports can be found at:

 $\underline{http://www.openmobilealliance.org/OMA-Problem-Reporting-System.html}$ 

# 6. Confirmation

This signature states that the included information is true and valid.

OMA Trusted Zone

# Appendix A. Change History

# (Informative)

Type of Change	Date	Section	Description
Initial Release	21st September 2007	All	First Version from TestFest-20